IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION

IN RE:

S CASE NO. 12-36187-H1-11

§ HOUSTON, TEXAS

ATP OIL AND GAS CORPORATION, § THURSDAY,

§ SEPTEMBER 5, 2013

DEBTOR. § 1:30 P.M. TO 5:59 P.M.

MOTIONS HEARING

BEFORE THE HONORABLE MARVIN ISGUR UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE DEBTOR: SEE NEXT PAGE

FOR THE TRUSTEE: SEE NEXT PAGE

COURTROOM DEPUTY: MARIO RIOS

COURT RECORDER: PAULA CRAWFORD

TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE BY:

JUDICIAL TRANSCRIBERS OF TEXAS, LLC
935 ELDRIDGE ROAD, #144
SUGAR LAND, TEXAS 77478
(281) 277-5325 (office) ◊ (281) 277-0946 (fax)
www.judicialtranscribers.com

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording, transcript produced by transcription service.

APPEARANCES:

FOR ATP OIL AND GAS

CORPORATION

MAYER BROWN, LLP

Charles S. Kelley, Esq. 700 Louisiana, Suite 3400

Houston, TX 77002

FOR THE OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF PORTER & HEDGES, LP

UNSECURED CREDITORS:

Joshua Wolfshohl, Esq.

1000 Main Street, 36th Floor

Houston, TX 77002

FOR OMEGA NATCHIQ:

PERRIN LANDRY DELAUNAY

DARTZ & OUELLET

Gerald C. deLaunay, Esq.

251 La Rue France Lafayette, LA 70508

FOR SUPREME SERVICE &

SPECIALTY CO., INC.:

SNOW SPENCE GREEN, LLP R. Ross Spence, Esq.

2929 Allen Parkway, Ste. 4100

Houston, TX 77019

FOR NGP CAPITAL:

THOMPSON & KNIGHT Tve Hancock, Esq.

333 Clay St., Ste. 3300

Houston, TX 77042

FOR MACQUARIE AMERICAS GORDON ARATA McCOLLAM CORPORATION, MACQUARIE DUPLANTIS & EAGAN, LLC INVESTMENTS, AND KEBA

ENERGY, LLC

Courtney S. Lauer, Esq.

1980 Post Oak Blvd., Suite 1800

Houston, Texas 77056

FOR GREYSTAR CORPORATION:

WALKER WILCOX MATOUSEK, LLP

Tony L. Draper, Esq.

1001 McKinney, Suite 2000

Houston, Texas 77002

FOR HARRIS CAPROCK:

HOOVER SLOVACEK, LLP T. Josh Judd, Esq.

5847 San Felipe, Ste. 2200

Houston, TX 77057

APPEARANCES (CONTINUED):

FOR GOMEZ HUB PIPELINE McDERMOTT WILL & EMORY

PARTNERS, LP Greg Kopacz, Esq. 340 Madison Ave.

New York, NY 10173-1922

FOR EXTERRAN ENERGY SOLUTIONS, LP: ANDERSON LEHRMAN

SOLUTIONS, LP:

ANDERSON LEHRMAN

Kevin M. Maraist, Esq.

1001 Third Street, St. 1

Corpus Christi, TX 78404

FOR CAMERON INTERNATIONAL DORE MAHONEY LAW GROUP, PC

CORPORATION AND WRIGHT Zachary McKay, Esq.

WELL CONTROL: 17171 Park Row, Ste. 160

Houston, TX 77084

FOR ERA HELICOPTERS, LLC: MONTGOMERY BARNETT

Stephen L. Williamson, Esq.

1100 Poydras, Ste. 3300 New Orleans, LA 70163

INDEX

WITNESSES:	<u>Direct</u>	Cross	Redirect	Recross
MICHAEL PIERSON By Mr. deLaunay By Mr. Kelley By the Court	35 • 92	• 66	80	87 , 93
GREGORY SANDOZ By Mr. deLaunay By Mr. Kelley	94	107	115	· 116
GARY BUCHANAN By Mr. deLaunay By Mr. Kelley	119	125	133	

EXHIBITS:	<u>Marked</u>	Offered	<u>Admitted</u>
<pre>Debtor's:</pre>			
	•	•	•
Omega's:			
Exhibits AA through KK	32	32	32
Exhibit LL	32	32	•
Exhibit MM	32	32	34
Exhibit NN	32	32	•
Exhibit 00	34	34	35

1 HOUSTON, TEXAS; THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 5, 2013; 1:31 P.M. 2 THE COURT: Please be seated. All right. Good 3 afternoon. We're here on the ATP Oil & Gas Corporation hearings. It is 12-36187. We're going to follow our usual 4 5 appearance protocol and allow parties to make appearances as 6 they wish during the course of the hearing. 7 Mr. Kelley. 8 MR. KELLEY: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Charles 9 Kelley from Mayer Brown on behalf of the Debtor ATP Oil & Gas 10 Corp. 11 THE COURT: Thank you. 12 MS. LAUER: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Courtney 13 Lauer with Gordon Arata on behalf of MacQuarie Investments. 14 THE COURT: Thank you. 15 MR. DELAUNAY: Judge, Gerald deLaunay representing 16 Omega Natchig, Inc. 17 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 18 MR. SPENCE: Ross Spence, Your Honor, representing 19 Supreme Service & Specialty Company, Inc. 20 THE COURT: Thank you. 21 MR. WOLFSHOHL: And Joshua Wolfshohl on behalf of the 22 Unsecured Creditors Committee. 23 THE COURT: Thank you. 24 All right. Let's go on through the Agenda, if we 25 could, please.

MR. KELLEY: Beg your pardon, Your Honor?

THE COURT: I said let's go through the Agenda and see

what we have.

MR. KELLEY: You bet. And I thank you, Your Honor.

The first matter that we have set up, Your Honor, is the Debtors' Fourth Emergency Motion for Entry of an Order pursuant to 105 and 363, authorizing interim use of cash collateral.

We filed, last weekend, Your Honor, a budget that carries through the end of August. What we are only seeking today is permission to use the cash for essentially the first three weeks of September. As the Court will recall, our budget expired on August 31st. We've worked with the DIP lenders, we've worked with the financial advisors of the DIP lenders and the financial advisors of the Debtors and have prepared that budget.

But because we have a couple of open issues and for various other reasons, we're only seeking for three weeks, and we will set a hearing, I believe the week of the 17th, if I'm -- I'm doing this from memory, Your Honor; my apologies -- that would be Thursday that week --

THE COURT: All right.

MR. KELLEY: -- would be when we would continue the -- the remaining portion of the budget. But we want to get permission today for the first three weeks.

I -- because we filed it Friday night, I'm not sure that parties who would have opposed would have filed anything, but I have received some contact from people and communicated with them. It is my understanding there's no objection, but let me step aside and see if anyone has any objection to that.

THE COURT: What Form of Order are you seeking to use

THE COURT: What Form of Order are you seeking to use, so that people know what they're objecting to, if anything?

MR. KELLEY: The Form of Order was the one that was attached to our pleading, Your Honor.

THE COURT: So the same one that was attached to the 2482?

MR. KELLEY: It specifically says in Paragraph 2, Your Honor, it mentions September 1st through September 22nd.

THE COURT: Right. All right. Let me hear objections to the proposal at 2482-2.

Ms. Lauer?

MS. LAUER: Your Honor, Courtney Lauer, here on behalf of Macquarie Investments.

We do not have an objection to the approval of this budget for the next three weeks, but wanted to note for the Record that we are concerned with the budget that's been attached that they propose will be -- they will seek approval of at the next hearing. Our concerns are that it shows in that budget that these funds that are coming in that are proceeds of the Macquarie overrides are going to be used throughout that

period, and that the Debtor will then end the month of October with zero cash, leaving them with an inability to comply with this Court's Order and to pay the -- turnover override funds in the month of November, which would be for September collections; so that's our concern.

We sent and email to the DIP lenders and counsel and -- for the Debtor, and I think it's something we can work out. But I just wanted to note on the Record that that's one of our concerns with the budget that's been attached.

THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Lauer.

Anybody else? Mr. Hancock.

MR. HANCOCK: Your Honor, just -- I would just note -- Tye Hancock for NGP Capital Resources Company.

Our payment terms under our conveyance documents, I believe are basically the same as Macquarie's, so we have the same situation. Others may -- it may vary with respect to override holders because their terms may be different. But as the budget stands, it purports to spend all of the proceeds for September production, so that's -- we essentially have the same issue.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. DRAPER: Your Honor, Tony Draper on behalf of GreyStar Corporation.

GreyStar filed a limited objection at Docket 2503. We set forth, Your Honor, that, you know, already for services

provided in August, there are payments due to GreyStar in the amount of \$375,156.34, with a projected additional 368-\$370,000 in services to be provided during the month of September. And it's imperative that the budget provide, and that the Debtors clarify that the budget provides for the payment of those amounts to GreyStar, whether the -- and my understanding from the prior interim cash collateral usage was that the budget that expired August 31 provided for the payment of those services that were performed by GreyStar in August, which were in the list, in the amount of \$375,000, as set forth in the objection; and also, Your Honor, the -- at least \$375,000 -- I'm sorry, \$368,000 that's projected going forward for September. We need clarification on that, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Kelley? Mr. Kelley, what's the status of the GreyStar payments that were budgeted for August?

MR. KELLEY: I was just conferring with the CFO. It's my understanding, Your Honor, that the GreyStar August services will be paid in -- according to their terms in September. It may be within that three-week period. I was exploring that while he was making the inquiry. But the intent is to pay those and include those in the budget.

Obviously, we're only seeking permission to go through three weeks. If it was scheduled to be paid within that threeweek period, it will be paid; if it's scheduled to be paid in the period after that, we'll pay it after that.

THE COURT: I think his question is this was scheduled in the August budget itself, but not paid in August. And so the issue, I think, is: Does the August budget now carry into September, so that money that was budgeted in August will be paid in September, as I understood the question.

MR. DRAPER: Effectively, yes, Judge.

MR. KELLEY: I'm a little lost, so I'm going to have to take a few minutes and ask my client. But they will -- what I heard him say was payments in August, for August time.

Normally, we get invoiced at the end of August for their August time that gets paid in September. So I'm not -- I'm not sure what --

THE COURT: He's saying it was shown in the August budget. I'm --

MR. KELLEY: For payment for the August time?

THE COURT: I don't know.

MR. DRAPER: Your Honor, Tony Draper.

It's -- and that was one of the things that we clarified. I believe it was the June 27th hearing that the clarification, as I recall it, on the Record was that -- that the budget to be approved through August provided for the payment of all services rendered by GreyStar on the assets being purchased during the month of August.

So if we are in a situation where the September budget provides for the payment of the services rendered in August,

then we get to a cash-zero position at the end of this budget, and I'm hearing from the other -- certain ORRI holders that they're concerned their funds are being used, regardless, it looks like we're left in a cash-zero position, and they're going to be asking GreyStar to provide services again in September to the tune of somewhere around \$400,000, with no apparent means of -- of paying those.

So it's -- we just need to know that the money is there, and that GreyStar is going to be -- going to be paid. The services provided by GreyStar are clearly essential and important to preserving the assets, so we're entitled to them, Judge.

(Participants confer.)

MR. KELLEY: I have to be honest with Your Honor, I don't remember what the clarification was that Mr. Draper was referencing from the July hearing.

THE COURT: I think he said the June --

MR. KELLEY: But I do know that we paid --

THE COURT: I think he said the June 26th hearing.

MR. DRAPER: Your Honor, it was June 26 or June 27.

My recollection is June 27.

THE COURT: Would it be helpful to hear what that was? I don't remember it at all.

(Laughter.)

MR. KELLEY: What I know is that, whatever services

they provide, they invoice us, and they're paid thirty days in arrears, according to standard terms. So my understanding is, typically, then in September, we'd be paying the August bill. That's what I have just been inquiring with my client, that's standard terms.

(Participants confer.)

MR. KELLEY: Fourteen days arrears?

(Participants confer.)

MR. KELLEY: So then if it's fourteen days, then it will be paid -- we've confirmed that the -- the payments to GreyStar are included within the lease operating cash disbursements, as it appears on the budget. Without the breakdown behind this specifically, I don't know that we can answer specifically which week. But they are paid in arrears, so the August time would have been billed, Mr. Draper is telling me about fourteen days; Mr. Reese was thinking it was twenty-five days. So it's either the last week of September or the second week of September. But whatever it is, the money is in the budget, and is intended to be in the budget, and is intended to be used to pay GreyStar, if that answers your question.

THE COURT: I don't know if that answers your question or not. I'm happy to figure out what we did in June.

MR. DRAPER: Your Honor, it's -- I appreciate the situation Mr. Kelley is in. I'm not sure whether he's telling

```
1
       me that the services GreyStar has already provided in August
2
       are now being allocated and provided for in this September
3
       budget, and then --
4
                THE COURT: Let's see what we did --
5
                MR. DRAPER: -- for September, we're --
                THE COURT: Let's see what we did in June.
6
7
                MR. DRAPER: Whatever --
8
                THE COURT: Here is your colloquy in June.
9
                MR. KELLEY: Okay. We found it; that's amazing.
10
                THE COURT: Yeah.
11
           (Playback of proceedings from June 27, 2013 from 1:42 p.m.
12
       to 1:44 p.m.)
13
                MR. DRAPER: Your Honor, can I interrupt?
14
                And I'm sorry. The discussion -- that discussion was
15
       relating to the terms of the sale order --
16
                THE COURT: Correct.
17
                MR. DRAPER: And the issue that I'm referring to is
18
       when the Debtors sought approval of the next interim budget,
19
       and I believe that was also June 27th. There was a
20
       presentation of a motion to approve that, I believe by
21
       Mr. Kelley, and then I requested clarification on the Record
22
       that it included payments for GreyStar.
                                                There was a break, and
23
       we attended to other business temporarily while someone from
24
       the Debtor consulted with their financial advisor, and then
25
       they came back ultimately and confirmed. I believe it was
```

```
1
       closer to the end of the hearing. And I'm sorry, I see that I
2
       don't have a page reference in my -- in my objection.
3
                THE COURT: So this is the hearing -- I'm going to
4
       back to the beginning. So it starts at 1:30. There's no break
5
       -- I don't know if you're looking at the time stamps on the
6
       left side, but --
7
                MR. DRAPER: It's -- and I believe what Your Honor did
8
       was suggest that we effectively hold the motion and address
9
       other business. There was no recess.
10
                THE COURT: Gotcha.
11
                MR. DRAPER: But there was discussion off the record
12
       while we attended to other business in the court.
13
           (Pause in proceedings.)
14
                MR. DRAPER: And that is, Your Honor, your --
15
           (Playback of proceedings from June 27, 2013 from 1:45 p.m.
16
       to 1:48 p.m.)
17
                THE COURT: Is there more that pertains to the
18
       hearing?
19
                MR. DRAPER: Yes, Your Honor.
20
                THE COURT: There is more? There's more?
21
                MR. DRAPER: Yes, Your Honor, there is -- it is -- I'm
22
       trying to recall about how much time. I think it was around
23
       forty-five minutes to an hour later, sometime shortly before
24
       the conclusion of the hearing --
25
                THE COURT: Okay.
```

```
1
                MR. DRAPER: -- that we took the issue back up, and it
2
       was -- it was clarified that yes, it did.
3
                THE COURT:
                            Okay.
4
                             So in --
                MR. DRAPER:
5
                THE COURT: Hold on. I want to get there.
                                                             I want to
6
       -- it's an important question to everybody, and I want to hear
7
       what got said.
8
           (Pause in proceedings.)
9
           (Playback of proceedings from June 27, 2013 from 1:49 p.m.
10
       to 1:50 p.m.)
11
                THE COURT: All right.
12
                MR. DRAPER: That's all.
13
                THE COURT: Now go ahead. I think we've --
14
                MR. DRAPER: And --
15
                THE COURT: It's important to know what happened, and
16
       I didn't remember it.
17
                MR. DRAPER: Yes, Your Honor. The bottom line,
18
       GreyStar needs to know that it's going to be paid. If GreyStar
19
       is only now being paid in the September budget for services
20
       provided in August, then we get to a cash-zero position when
21
       GreyStar is still going to be providing services during the
22
       month of September.
23
                My understanding from the June 27th hearing was that
24
       that budget through August provided for the funds necessary to
25
       pay GreyStar for the services it provided during that budget
```

period. Now so it's --

THE COURT: All right. Let's take this one step at a time. Is it true that the work through August is budgeted and will, in fact, now be paid within fourteen days including --

MR. KELLEY: Whatever the -- yes, consistent with their terms.

THE COURT: Within fourteen or thirty, whatever the terms are.

MR. KELLEY: Right. It is contemplated that, in September, we will be paying for the services they provided in October -- in August.

THE COURT: Whether out of the August budget or out of the September budget.

MR. KELLEY: It's being paid -- booked into the September budget, is my understanding.

THE COURT: Okay. Now as to the September work, why are you doing work if you don't think you're going to get paid for it?

MR. DRAPER: That's -- that's why I'm here. So I need clarification on that point, because it is -- I may have been wrong; I don't think so. But my understanding was they had provided special funds in the August budget to pay for the services provided by GreyStar during that budget period, which was --

THE COURT: All right. Your --

```
1
                MR. DRAPER: -- that August budget had the money set
2
       aside.
3
                THE COURT: You get paid for it for the work through
4
       August.
5
                MR. DRAPER: Yes.
6
                THE COURT: Now the question is, is there going to be
7
       work for September, right?
8
                MR. DRAPER: Yes.
9
                MR. KELLEY: It's my understanding that this budget
10
       reflects payments for the work that will be performed in
11
       September. I will -- but we're not asking for approval --
12
                THE COURT: But that wouldn't be --
13
                MR. KELLEY: -- of that budget period yet.
14
                THE COURT: I thought that wouldn't be paid until
15
       October, so --
                MR. KELLEY: That's correct. So that's -- it's not
16
17
       being approved yet. It's in the budget that has been filed.
18
       The budget that we filed goes through the end of October.
19
                THE COURT: Right.
20
                MR. KELLEY: So September work from GreyStar is
21
       reflected in the budget, and will be paid in the budget. So it
22
       shows in the budget, but we're not asking the Court for
23
       permission to spend those monies yet.
24
                THE COURT: What would you like to do? Do you object,
25
       not object?
```

```
1
           (Laughter.)
2
                MR. DRAPER: And I'm sorry. Can I ask Mr. Kelley?
3
       When is that phase of the budget going to be --
4
                THE COURT: September 19th.
5
                MR. DRAPER: -- sought for approval?
6
                THE COURT: September 19th.
7
                MR. DRAPER: That's -- I think that's the best we can
8
       do under the circumstances, Judge.
9
                THE COURT: So do you --
10
                MR. KELLEY: And we'll continue to provide backup and
11
       show --
12
                THE COURT: I just want to know then, do you object to
13
       entry of the interim cash collateral order?
14
                MR. DRAPER: Not with those representations, Your
15
       Honor.
16
                THE COURT: All right. Any other party object? I
17
       mean, I know we had a couple of reservation of rights and those
18
       -- I would say that all parties have reserved their rights on
19
       those statements; no one else needs to do that.
20
                Anybody now object to the interim cash collateral
21
       order?
22
           (No verbal response.)
23
                THE COURT: All right. Do you have that Order
24
       available?
25
                MR. KELLEY: I have a copy in my notebook, Your Honor.
```

```
1
                THE COURT: But the one in my book doesn't have the
2
       attachment.
3
                MR. KELLEY: Unfortunately, the one in my book does
4
       not, either. I can compile that and get that to you, Your
5
       Honor.
                THE COURT: Okay. It's supposed to have a budget, I
6
7
       think, for September 1 through 22, and that's the budget that I
8
       think I'm missing.
9
                MR. KELLEY: We actually were planning on attaching
       the whole budget with the Order, just limiting our ability to
10
11
       spend for that period of time. We were going to attach the
12
       entire exhibit and --
13
                THE COURT: Oh, so we can take the budget off of
14
       the --
15
                MR. KELLEY: Off the motion and attach it to the -- I
16
       can pass mine up.
17
                THE COURT: I've got that, if that's what -- if that's
18
       okay with the parties.
19
                MR. KELLEY: That's fine.
20
                THE COURT: I'm fine doing it that way.
21
                MR. KELLEY: Because you're only approving our
22
       authority to spend through September 22nd.
23
                THE COURT: I thought you actually had separated it
24
       out of the budget.
25
                MR. KELLEY: We didn't; we didn't reduce it down.
```

```
1
                THE COURT: All right.
2
           (Pause in proceedings.)
3
                THE COURT: All right. What do we have next?
4
                MR. KELLEY: Your Honor, I'm going to go through some
5
       -- what's listed on the --
6
                THE COURT: I'm sorry. Let me make one more entry --
7
                MR. KELLEY: Sorry.
8
                THE COURT: -- for the minute purposes, which is we're
9
       now going to carry Docket No. 2482 to September the 19th.
10
           (Court and court personnel confer.)
11
                THE COURT: Go ahead.
12
                MR. KELLEY: And the second item on the Agenda today,
13
       Your Honor, is the motion for relief from stay filed by Harris
14
       CapRock. We've been in discussions with them. I believe they
15
       have decided to continue the hearing to a point in time in
16
       October. I'm not sure a specific date has been identified.
17
                MR. JUDD: Sure, Your Honor. Josh Judd on behalf of
18
       Harris CapRock Communications.
19
                Your Honor, we represented that, as long as the
20
       interim order approving use of cash collateral was entered,
21
       we'd agree to an October 10th hearing date, if that's
22
       available.
23
                THE COURT: October 10th. Any objection by the
24
       Debtor?
25
           (Participants confer.)
```

```
1
                MR. KELLEY: Well, I may have a conflict, and I may
2
       talk to them about maybe moving it one week to the 17th, but
3
       we'll visit. We don't need to settle that here at the podium.
4
                THE COURT: Well, the docket is going to reflect that
5
       it's carried to the 10th. The parties can, by agreement, move
6
       it to another date on the Agenda, with just a note on the
7
       Agenda that the parties have agreed to continue it, but
8
       officially, it will be carried to the 10th.
9
                MR. JUDD: Thank you, Your Honor.
10
                MR. KELLEY: Of course, thank you.
11
                THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. The 10th of October.
12
                Okay. The next thing we have then is 2241?
13
                MR. KELLEY: The third item is the motion of Gomez Hub
14
       Pipeline Partners. Again, Your Honor, it's my understanding
15
       that those parties have agreed to move that to September 26th.
16
                THE COURT: Is there anybody here on behalf of Gomez
17
       Hub?
18
                MR. KELLEY: They may be on the line, Your Honor.
19
       don't know.
20
           (Pause in proceedings.)
21
                THE COURT: Mr. Covad, is that you?
22
           (No verbal response.)
23
                THE COURT: Mr. Covad, do we have you on the line?
24
                MR. KOPACZ: (Via telephone) Hello, Your Honor. This
25
       is Greg Kopacz of McDermott, Will & Emory. I'm just -- on
```

1 behalf of Gomez Hub Pipeline Partners. And Mr. Kelley is 2 correct that we've adjourned the hearing to September 26th. 3 THE COURT: All right. We'll continue Number 2241 4 until September 26th, at 1:30. Thank you. 5 MR. KELLEY: Your Honor, my apologies. But we had 6 filed an updated Agenda, and I had brought an extra copy for 7 you, just in case you didn't have the updated Agenda. It may 8 be the last one we filed, if you've already got it. 9 THE COURT: I think I've got it. And I know these 10 dates are all in there. I just want the parties to tell me 11 whether they agree to them or not agree to them. 12 Okay. Next we have the --13 MR. KELLEY: That's the one I'm working from, so I 14 just wanted to --15 Thank you. So I think we're next at 2248. THE COURT: 16 Is that right? 17 MR. KELLEY: Yes, Your Honor. The fourth item is 18 actually -- it doesn't identify it in the style of the motion, 19 but it's actually a pleading filed by Gulf Coast Chemical. 20 THE COURT: Right. 21 MR. KELLEY: It's an application for allowance of 22 administrative expense. And that motion has been moved to the 23 19th, by agreement of the parties. 24 THE COURT: Is there anyone here on behalf of Gulf 25 Coast that wants to object to moving the hearing?

(No verbal response.)

THE COURT: All right. The hearing is continued until September 19th at 1:30. Okay.

MR. KELLEY: The next one, Your Honor, is the motion of -- and I want to pronounce this correctly -- Externan Energy Solutions for allowance and payment of an administrative expense claim.

THE COURT: Number 2282?

MR. KELLEY: Yes, Your Honor. We have been in discussions with them, and I believe we have reached an agreement with them, and we are negotiating an Agreed Order, and at some point, we'll be providing an Agreed Order.

THE COURT: Is there anybody here on behalf of Exterran?

MR. KELLEY: In the interim, Your Honor, I think

Exterran has requested that we ask that the Court reset this to

the 19th, as the sort of back-in date.

THE COURT: I've got Mr. Maraist on the phone.

MR. KELLEY: Okay. Thank you.

THE COURT: Mr. Maraist, would you prefer that it call for just submission of an Agreed Order within fourteen days, or do you want me to continue the hearing until September 19?

MR. MARAIST: (Via telephone) Good afternoon, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Good afternoon.

MR. MARAIST: Kevin Maraist for Exterran Energy.

I think we'd like to retain the setting, Your Honor, with the expectation that we will upload an Agreed Order, hopefully in the next few days. But in the event that we have some issues, it -- we could have the setting or, if the Court would prefer, we could certainly have it reset, whatever the Court prefers.

THE COURT: I don't care. I just -- I want to accommodate the parties.

MR. KELLEY: The Debtor is fine with the resetting on the 19th. I'm confident we'll have something uploaded well before then.

THE COURT: They're going to be here anyway,

Mr. Maraist. The question is whether you need to either be
here or be on the phone. If I just call for an uploaded order,
then you don't need to be there. If it's on the 19th, then
normally, I would expect somebody from Externan to be on the
phone or here. Your pick.

MR. MARAIST: Your Honor, I have -- I'll plan on participating on the telephone if we don't have an agreement.

THE COURT: Thank you. The hearing is continued until September 19th at 1:30. All right.

MR. KELLEY: I may sound like a broken record, Your Honor, as to Item No. 6, Hornbeck Offshore, Motion for Allowance with respect to the administrative expense claim, has

```
1
       also been continued to the 19th by agreement of the parties.
2
                THE COURT: Is there anyone here on behalf of Hornbeck
3
       on Docket No. 2285?
4
           (No verbal response.)
5
                THE COURT: All right. I've got no appearance on the
6
       Hornbeck matter. We will continue it until September the 19th
7
       at 1:30.
                MR. KELLEY: Your Honor, Item No. 7 is the motion by
8
9
       Omega. Can we skip that and come back to that? Because I
       believe the rest we'll just tick off, and then we'll come back
10
11
       with the last item being the Omega one.
12
                THE COURT: All right.
13
                MR. KELLEY: Number 8, Your Honor, is the Cameron
14
       motion.
15
                THE COURT: Correct.
16
                MR. KELLEY: And Cameron, too, has asked that theirs
17
       be extended to the 19th. We have no opposition to that.
18
                THE COURT: Thank you.
19
                MR. MCKAY: Your Honor, Zach McKay for Cameron
20
       International. We do agree to pass it to the 19th.
21
                THE COURT: Okay. The hearing then is continued to
22
       September the 19th at 1:30.
23
                Thank you.
24
                2358, which is Supreme. That's yours, Mr. Spence.
25
           (Participants confer.)
```

```
1
                MR. KELLEY: Okay. That is Supreme. Sorry.
2
                MR. SPENCE: I believe we are working it out, it just
3
       isn't fully worked out, and so a continuance to the 19th would
4
       be good.
5
                THE COURT: You'd prefer that, than just call for an
6
       uploaded order?
7
                MR. SPENCE: We'd prefer that because there are still
8
       some issues.
9
                THE COURT: We'll continue the hearing until
10
       September 19th at 1:30.
11
                Thank you.
12
                MR. KELLEY: Your Honor, if, in between, we do resolve
13
       the issues, and we do upload an order, would you prefer that we
14
       still appear on the 19th, to announce that, or?
15
                THE COURT: Yeah. But you'll be here on the 19th,
16
       right?
17
                MR. KELLEY: I will be here on the 19th.
18
                THE COURT: I won't require the other parties to be
19
       here if it's just an agreement, if they're confident that I'll
20
       sign it. If I've got questions about it, though, I'm going to
21
       proceed with my hearing; and, if they're not here --
22
                MR. KELLEY: I understand, Your Honor.
23
                THE COURT: -- then they're going to be at their own
24
       risk because I'm going to try and dispose of it at that point.
25
                MR. KELLEY: Got it.
```

```
1
                THE COURT: It's up to them. They won't be in
2
       contempt, but they may not like what the result is.
3
           (Laughter.)
4
                THE COURT: All right. Then we have?
5
                MR. KELLEY: Item No. 10, Your Honor, is Technip's
6
       motion.
7
                THE COURT: Right.
8
                MR. KELLEY: It is their Emergency Motion to Compel
9
       Payment. We've been in discussion with Technip's counsel, and
10
       they've requested a continuance of this until the 19th, and we
11
       are in -- the Debtor is comfortable with that, and we agree
12
       with that, Your Honor.
13
                THE COURT: Is there anyone here on behalf of Technip
14
       on Document --
15
                MR. KELLEY: I'm --
                THE COURT: -- 2460?
16
17
                MR. KELLEY: I believe they might have been out
18
       because of the religious holiday, and we agreed that we would
19
       announce the --
20
                THE COURT: All right. The hearing is continued until
21
       September 19th.
22
                Thank you.
23
                What do you have next?
24
                MR. KELLEY: Number 11 is the motion of Era
25
       Helicopters. It's the relief with respect to the liens, which
```

```
1
       spent significant time talking about last week. I think this
2
       will be very brief, but we'll let Mr. Lamberson address it.
3
                THE COURT: 2454?
4
                MR. WILLIAMSON: Good afternoon, Your Honor.
5
                THE COURT: Good afternoon.
6
                MR. WILLIAMSON: Steve Williamson for Era Helicopters,
7
       LLC.
8
                Our motion to track those that we discussed at length
9
       last Thursday -- and we uploaded an Agreed Order yesterday,
10
       which tracks the terms of the Agreed Order that resolved those
11
       matters.
12
                THE COURT: I have not seen that yet. Do you happen
13
       to have a docket number on that, or do you have a copy --
14
                MR. WILLIAMSON: Yes, Judge.
15
                THE COURT: -- or do you have --
16
                MR. WILLIAMSON: The --
17
                THE COURT: -- a copy of the Agreed Order, either one?
18
                MR. WILLIAMSON: It's Docket No. 2492, but I have a
19
       copy for the Court.
20
                THE COURT: Thank you.
21
                MR. LAMBERSON: And Your Honor, Phillip Lamberson on
22
       behalf of certain DIP lenders.
23
                To this point, we have worked out an Order with the
24
       Movants form the hearing last week. I have a copy of that with
25
       me. I did not upload it yet, but I'm happy to present it to
```

```
1
       Your Honor in electronic form.
2
                THE COURT: Thank you.
3
                MR. LAMBERSON: And this is an Order agreed to by all
4
       Movants, and in fact, signed off on by all Movants.
5
                THE COURT: And is it similar to the one that
6
       Mr. Williamson has just handed up?
7
                MR. LAMBERSON: It's identical, actually, other than
8
       the names of the parties, and the fact that objections were
9
       filed.
10
                THE COURT: All right. Let me have your Order, if I
11
       could, please?
12
                Thank you.
13
                I've signed Mr. Williamson's Order.
14
                MR. LAMBERSON:
                                Thank you.
15
                MR. WILLIAMSON: Thank you, Judge.
16
           (Pause in proceedings.)
17
                THE COURT: Do I want to scan and fix the Kingston --
18
       there might be a problem with some files on the device. I
19
       think we'll take that option, so.
20
                MR. LAMBERSON: Do you want me to upload a digital
21
       copy? I'm happy to do that, Your Honor.
22
                THE COURT: The device was successfully scanned.
23
       We'll see if you've infected the federal Government here.
24
       That's a dangerous question.
25
           (Laughter.)
```

```
THE COURT: So this is identical to what we just dealt
1
2
       with?
3
                MR. LAMBERSON: It is, other than the names of the
4
       parties, and then the fact that no file -- no objection was
5
       filed to the Era Helicopters motion.
                THE COURT: Okay.
6
7
                MR. LAMBERSON: But obviously, there were objections
       filed to those. The substance is exactly the same.
8
9
           (Pause in proceedings.)
10
                THE COURT: Does any party have any comment on the
11
       Agreed Order that resolves 2356, 2373, 2386, 2388, 2400, 2406,
12
       2437, 2441, and 2447?
13
           (No verbal response.)
14
                THE COURT: I've signed your Order. Thank you,
15
       Mr. Lamberson.
16
                MR. LAMBERSON: Thank you, Your Honor.
17
                THE COURT: All right. I think that goes to Wright
18
       Well Control now. Is that correct?
19
                MR. KELLEY: That is correct, Your Honor. And Wright
20
       Well Control's motion, we've reached an agreement to move that
21
       one to the 19th.
22
                MR. MCKAY: Your Honor, Zach McKay for Wright Well
23
       Control. We agree to pass that until the 19th.
24
                THE COURT: Thank you.
25
                We'll continue the hearing until September 19th at
```

```
1
       1:30, by agreement of the parties.
2
                All right. So we just have the one now to go back to.
3
       Is that correct?
4
                MR. KELLEY: That's correct. That is Item No. 7, if
5
       my memory serves me correctly, and that's Omega's motion.
                THE COURT: Go ahead.
6
7
                MR. KELLEY: Omega here -- is here and has witnesses,
8
       and I think they're prepared to proceed, Your Honor.
9
                THE COURT: Thank you.
10
           (Pause in proceedings.)
11
                THE COURT: All right. Mr. deLaunay, who's going to
12
       be your first witness today?
13
                MR. DELAUNAY: Judge, we call Mr. Michael Pierson.
14
                THE COURT: All right. Are there any exhibits that
15
       you're going to be offering?
16
                MR. DELAUNAY: Judge, I have exhibit books.
17
                THE COURT: Thank you.
18
           (Participants confer.)
19
                THE COURT: Is the Debtor or any other party-in-
20
       interest going to have any exhibits, as well, on the Omega
21
       motion?
22
                MR. KELLEY: We may, Your Honor. Ours are going to be
23
       proof of those that were paid. I'm going to wait and see what
24
       their case consists of --
25
                THE COURT: All right.
```

MR. KELLEY: -- before we offer these. 1 2 THE COURT: Are you offering all of these, at this 3 point, Mr. deLaunay? 4 MR. DELAUNAY: I would offer them. I don't know that 5 the -- if there's any objections at this point. We would eventually offer them all. 6 7 THE COURT: All right. Let me hear the ones to which 8 you have an objection, Mr. Kelley. We'll admit the ones to 9 which there is no objection, then we'll allow you to introduce 10 ones to which there is an objection during the course --11 MR. KELLEY: Sure. 12 THE COURT: -- of the contested hearing. 13 MR. KELLEY: Your Honor, fundamentally, I don't think 14 we're going to object to Exhibits A through -- I believe LL. 15 There appears to be a summary chart in MM, which we may or may 16 not object to, depending upon the testimony. So for this point 17 in time, we'd like to assert an objection, pending --18 THE COURT: Right. But through LL, you have no 19 objection. A through LL. 20 MR. KELLEY: Strike that. We do have an objection as 21 to LL. Sorry, Your Honor. 22 THE COURT: Okay. A through KK. 23 MR. KELLEY: Yes. 24 THE COURT: Okay. A through KK are admitted by 25 agreement.

1 (Omega Exhibits A through KK received in evidence.) 2 THE COURT: LL, MM, and NN can be offered during the 3 course of the proceeding, and we'll determine the objection at 4 that time. 5 MR. DELAUNAY: Judge, we may be able to -- well, we've 6 added NN. It was a payment received subsequent to the filing 7 of the motion, so we updated our exhibit list. So it's 8 actually a credit of them, a \$17,876.29 payment. So I -- I 9 don't know that there will be an objection to that. 10 MR. KELLEY: I'm not sure I understand the question. 11 THE COURT: It's a -- it's a check. 12 MR. DELAUNAY: It's a check --13 THE COURT: NN is a check. 14 MR. DELAUNAY: -- showing payment. 15 MR. KELLEY: There's a couple of checks. There's 16 another one that should -- that came in for another one of 17 these invoices. 18 MR. DELAUNAY: That's correct. And I'm going to 19 correct that in a second. 20 THE COURT: Do you object, though, to this check, NN, 21 coming in? 22 MR. KELLEY: To the invoice NN? 23 THE COURT: No, the check. 24 MR. DELAUNAY: No, the check. 25 THE COURT: It's a check from you.

```
1
                MR. DELAUNAY: For the $17,000 check.
2
                MR. KELLEY: I'm sorry, Your Honor. I don't have it
3
       in front of me.
4
                THE COURT: That's okay. It's the very last --
5
                MR. KELLEY: Oh, there it is. I don't -- I don't have
       any objection to the ATP check coming in.
6
7
                THE COURT: Okay. We'll admit NN, as well.
8
           (Omega Exhibit NN received in evidence.)
9
                MR. DELAUNAY: And Judge, yesterday evening, ATP
10
       produced a group of AFEs and requisitions, which we had
11
       requested from them. We did not get them until yesterday
12
       evening. And I'd like to add those as Exhibit OO, with the
13
       Court's permission.
14
                THE COURT: All right. Do you want to hand those up,
15
       please?
16
                MR. KELLEY: We don't have any objection to those,
17
       Judge.
18
                MR. DELAUNAY: And I have --
19
                THE COURT: Okay. We'll admit 00.
20
                MR. DELAUNAY: -- two additional copies.
21
                THE COURT: Thank you.
22
           (Omega Exhibit OO received in evidence.)
23
                MR. KELLEY: They were requested for a depo that was
24
       noticed yesterday.
25
                MR. DELAUNAY: Right.
```

```
1
                MR. KELLEY: I just want to make sure there's no --
2
       he's not talking about any delay.
3
                MR. DELAUNAY: No. No suggestion of that.
                THE COURT: All right.
4
5
                MR. DELAUNAY: Okay.
6
                THE COURT: All right. Mr. Pierson, would you come
7
       forward, please, sir. Step right up here, sir. Would you
8
       raise your hand, please.
9
                  MICHAEL PIERSON, WITNESS FOR OMEGA, SWORN.
10
                THE COURT: Thank you. Would you have a seat right up
11
       here, please.
12
           (Participants confer.)
13
                     DIRECT EXAMINATION OF MICHAEL PIERSON
14
       BY MR. DELAUNAY:
15
           Mr. Pierson, would you state your name for the Record,
16
       please?
17
         Jerry Michael Pierson.
18
                THE COURT: Sir, I need you to pull that close to you,
19
       and speak up to where everybody in the court reporter is able
20
       to hear you over here.
21
           Jerry Michael Pierson.
22
                THE COURT: Thank you, sir.
23
       BY MR. DELAUNAY:
24
           And Mr. Pierson, what's the highest educational degree that
25
       you have attained?
```

- 1 A I have a Bachelor's -- a Bachelor's Degree in Petroleum 2 Engineering.
- 3 | Q And where are you employed at this time?
- 4 A ATP Oil & Gas.
- 5 Q And what is your position there?
- 6 A Chief Operations Engineer.
- 7 Q And as Chief Operations Engineer, what are your
- 8 responsibilities and duties at ATP?
- 9 A I'm responsible for overseeing the Gulf of Mexico
- 10 production operations.
- 11 Q And that would include all of the wells and mineral
- 12 | properties operated by ATP during the course of this bankruptcy
- 13 proceeding?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q Do you know a company named Omega Natchig, Inc.?
- 16 A I do.
- 17 Q Prior to the institution of bankruptcy, did ATP have a
- business relationship with Omega?
- 19 A Yes.
- 21 A Omega provided construction crews to work offshore for us.
- 22 And were you aware that, prior to the institution of the
- 23 bankruptcy, Omega had in place a Master Service Agreement?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q Excuse me.

(Pause in proceedings.)

Q Mr. Pierson, prior to the bankruptcy, what was the process of -- by which -- let me back up.

The Master Service Agreement was a general contract with terms and conditions, pursuant to which Omega would provide services to ATP when requested. Is that correct?

A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- Q And when specific work was done, how was Omega asked to perform work? And I'm talking pre-bankruptcy. Did you make a call to Omega from time to time to ask them to do work, or would you have someone do so?
- 12 | A Both.
- Q Both? Did you have representatives, third-party

 contractors, that were authorized to have Omega go out and do

 certain work?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q Okay. And who would that include?
- 18 A Farrow Management.
- 19 Q They are an inspection company?
- 20 A They're -- they're a consulting company.
- Q Okay. And from time to time, would your field foreman also be authorized to instruct Omega to do certain work on platforms where they were located?
- 24 A Yes.
- Q Okay. Now subsequent to the institution of the bankruptcy,

```
1
       did you, personally, call Omega and request that they continue
2
       to provide services?
3
       Α
           Yes.
4
           You spoke to whom?
       0
5
       Α
           Mr. Greg Sandoz.
6
       Q
          And did Omega agree to provide those services?
7
          Yes, they did.
       Α
8
           And was the method pursuant to which Omega could be asked
9
       to perform services any different subsequent to the filing of
10
       bankruptcy? That question wasn't very clear.
11
           But subsequent to bankruptcy, did things work the same way?
12
       That is, you might call them to perform work, mister -- that
13
       Farrow Management might ask them to do work, or your field
14
       foreman might ask them to do work?
15
           Yes.
       Α
16
           Exhibit A in the exhibit book is an agreement. I mean, a
17
       work order. We looked through that last night. Do you
18
       remember that?
19
           (No verbal response.)
       Α
20
           Do you want to see it again?
       0
21
       Α
           I remember.
22
           What's that?
       0
23
           I remember.
24
           Okay. And that was an amendment to your MSA that was
```

executed subsequent to the filing of the bankruptcy, correct?

```
1
                MR. KELLEY: Your Honor, just so we have a clear
2
       Record, there's nothing in front of the witness.
3
                MR. DELAUNAY: Yeah, I will --
4
                MR. KELLEY: And I don't want to make an issue of
5
       this, but I would like to keep it clear, as opposed to
       pulling --
6
7
                MR. DELAUNAY: If I can approach --
8
                MR. KELLEY: -- things from memory.
9
                MR. DELAUNAY: If I can approach the witness, Judge?
10
                THE COURT: Thank you. Yes, sir.
11
                MR. KELLEY: I can -- I don't mind putting my exhibits
12
       in front of him, whatever is easier.
13
                MR. DELAUNAY: Why don't you do that. That would be
14
       -- that would be easier.
15
           (Participants confer.)
16
                MR. DELAUNAY: It doesn't matter. He can see your
17
       notes.
18
           (Participants confer.)
19
       BY MR. DELAUNAY:
20
           Do you see Exhibit A?
       0
21
       Α
           I do.
22
          And that is the amendment to work order?
23
          Yes.
24
          Now why was it important that Omega have a construction
25
       contractor or -- such as -- I'm sorry.
```

Why was it important that ATP have a construction contractor such as Omega available to perform work subsequent to the filing of bankruptcy?

- A It -- the contract allowed us to just utilize Omega to perform work as needed.
- Q Why was it important for ATP to have a contractor available to perform work on its platforms?
 - A Well, we had to perform maintenance and work, as needed.
 - Q You're governed by MMS regulations, and the work was following MMS regulations in the operation of the platforms?
- 11 A To an extent, yes.
- Q Okay. Are you required by regulation to perform certain maintenance on the platforms on which you operate?
- A We are required by regulation to maintain them in a certain

 -- or to meet minimum conditions.
- Q Okay. You're required to perform work as necessary to prevent any environmental hazards from occurring?
- 18 A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

- 19 Q Okay. And was that part of the work that Omega would do 20 for you?
- 21 A If it was needed, yes.
- 22 | Q Mr. Pierson, your office is located where?
- 23 A 4600 Post Oak Place, Houston.
- Q Okay. And is that the place, prior to bankruptcy, to which
 Omega would mail invoices to ATP?

1 A Yes.

5

- Q And subsequent to the filing of the bankruptcy, Omega
 mailed its invoices to the same location?
- 4 A I believe so.
 - Q And the invoices which have been attached are addressed to your attention. If you would look, for instance, at Exhibit B?
- 7 A (Witness reviews exhibits.)
- Q What -- could you explain to the Court, what is the procedure that is employed by ATP once an invoice is received, to make sure that the invoice is valid?
- 11 A Well, the invoice would be received by our -- by our
 12 receptionist. They would log it, send it to accounting, and
 13 would then log it into our system, and they would circulate it
 14 to whomever had the authority to approve that particular
 15 invoice.
- Q And part of the process included a review of the attachments to the invoices?
- 18 A Yes. The recipient would review the attachments.
- Q And part of the review would also include comparing what Omega claimed it did with the reports from your inspectors?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 | Q And that is Farrow Management?
- 23 A On some work, yes.
- Q On some of the work? Okay.
- 25 And if there was an objection to any of the charges by

- 1 Omega, what was your normal process?
- 2 A Typically, we would mark the invoice short. We would
- 3 contact Omega and advise them of the -- that it was short.
- 4 Q Okay.
- 5 A And we would try to negotiate what it should be.
- 6 Q Since the filing of this motion in July, Mr. Pierson, has
- 7 ATP undertaken to review these invoices or review its records
- 8 to determine whether it had objected to or disputed any of the
- 9 charges from Omega?
- 10 A I have no knowledge of that.
- 11 Q Well, when invoices come in, they come to you first. Is
- 12 that correct?
- 13 A It -- for remarks, review, and approval, yes.
- 14 Q And then they go through the -- is there -- the review
- 15 process?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q And if there is an objection or -- to any of the invoices
- or a dispute, are you made aware of that?
- 19 | A Yes.
- 20 Q Okay. Were you ever made aware of any disputes to any of
- 21 the invoices which are the subject of this hearing?
- 22 A Not that I recall.
- 23 Q Last night, when we were speaking, I asked if you might
- 24 take some time this morning and check your accounting system,
- 25 to determine whether or not there were any disputes. Did you

```
1
       do that?
2
         I did not.
3
          Mr. Pierson, what portion of the -- which is reflected in
4
       -- well, let me ask you this: As you sit here today, do you
5
       dispute, as the chief engineer in charge of operations in the
       Gulf of Mexico for ATP, do you dispute that Omega performed the
6
7
       work that is shown on its invoices?
8
                MR. KELLEY: Just so we're clear, he's asking this
9
       question in his personal capacity, right?
10
                MR. DELAUNAY: Asking -- what is it?
11
                MR. KELLEY: He's not asking on behalf of the company,
12
       so.
13
                MR. DELAUNAY: It's -- I'm asking him on behalf of the
14
       company, as the chief engineer, in charge of operations for the
15
       Gulf of Mexico.
16
       BY MR. DELAUNAY:
17
           In that capacity, do you dispute whether Omega performed
18
       the work that's shown on the invoices?
19
                THE COURT: Well, let me just -- I do think it's fair,
20
       to get some clarification here.
21
                MR. DELAUNAY: Sure, Judge.
22
                THE COURT: He's testified that he is unaware of any
23
       dispute.
24
                MR. DELAUNAY: Right.
25
                THE COURT: And so I need to know what's the
```

```
1
       difference between that and the question you're asking now.
2
                MR. DELAUNAY: Good point, Judge.
3
                THE COURT: Okay.
4
                MR. DELAUNAY: Good point.
5
       BY MR. DELAUNAY:
           Let's take it you, individually, Mr. Pierson. Do you
6
7
       dispute that Omega performed the work that's shown on its
       invoices?
8
9
           Not on the invoices I've approved.
10
           I'm sorry. Say that again.
11
           Not on the invoices -- not on the invoices I approve.
12
         Okay. Well, did you approve these invoices?
       0
13
                MR. KELLEY: Judge, can we just be clear, when he says
14
       "these invoices." Are you referring to all of the ones in the
15
       book?
16
                MR. DELAUNAY: I'm sorry. Yes.
17
                MR. KELLEY: What are you --
18
       BY MR. DELAUNAY:
19
           All of the invoices that are in that book.
20
       Α
          I don't know.
21
           Okay. Is there someone within ATP who would know?
22
           It would have to be someone in our accounting group who
23
       would have the approved invoice.
24
           Okay. And Mr. Pierson, let me ask you this. If -- you
25
       mentioned that the process called for Omega to be contacted if
```

- 1 there was a dispute as to any invoice?
- 2 A Yes.

7

8

- 3 Q If the evidence shows that no one ever contacted Omega to
- 4 dispute any of the invoices, what would that indicate to you?
 - A That would indicate that there was no dispute.
- 6 Q Thank you, sir.
 - You're aware that -- okay. Let me get back to my question concerning the Mississippi Canyon 711 properties. Those were operated from the Innovator. Is that correct?
- 10 A Correct.
- 11 Q And am I also correct that ATP was the operator of the
- 12 Innovator?
- 13 A Correct.
- 14 Q Am I also correct that the wells produced until they were
- shut in at the end of April 2013?
- 16 A Yes, correct.
- 17 Q You are aware that Omega had crews working on the Innovator
- up through the end of April 2013?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q And those crews were assisting in maintenance and repair
- 21 and construction activities on the Innovator?
- 22 A Yes.
- Q And would you agree that those services benefitted ATP by
- 24 enhancing its ability to produce from the Innovator?
- 25 A No.

1 Okay. What sort of work was Omega doing for you? Q 2 Omega was doing structural work in the halls. Α 3 And was the Innovator in need of structural work? Q 4 Α Yes. 5 And if that structural work did not occur, what were the 6 risks that ATP would take? 7 MR. KELLEY: My objection is it's just vague as to 8 point in time, Judge. Risks at what point in time? The period 9 does matter for these types of questions. MR. DELAUNAY: Well, I'm talking --10 11 THE COURT: Overruled. Overruled. 12 MR. DELAUNAY: Okay. 13 THE COURT: I'll let you fix that up. BY MR. DELAUNAY: 14 15 What were the risks if these repairs and maintenance was 16 not done? 17 Well, the -- the deterioration, the corrosion would have 18 continued over time. 19 Okay. Those could contribute to an environmental hazard? Q 20 I don't know that I would -- that I would say that, no. 21 What would happen if corrosion allowed leaks into the --22 into this platform, could it begin to list? 23 It could. 24 And if -- and if the leaks became too bad, would it not

create an environmental hazard in the Gulf of Mexico

```
1
           Depending on what all the circumstances were that led up to
2
       it, it could, but --
3
           From a safety standpoint, am I correct that the Innovator
4
       had columns or legs throughout its structure that had to be
5
       repaired from time to time, to prevent leakage into those legs,
       into the columns?
6
7
           The columns?
       Α
8
           Part of the work that Omega was doing was to repair
9
       corroded areas that might allow leakage into the facility.
10
       that correct?
11
           Into the hull.
12
           Into the hull.
       0
13
       Α
          Correct.
14
           That's correct? Is that correct?
15
       Α
           Yes.
16
                THE COURT:
                            I'm sorry. What -- let me -- I just -- I
17
       may have gotten --
18
                MR. DELAUNAY:
                               Sure.
19
                THE COURT: -- my notes wrong on your question.
20
       it to stop leakage into the hull or to avoid leakage into the
21
       hull? And I'm just not sure what the question was.
22
                MR. DELAUNAY: Let me see if I can clarify that,
23
       Judge.
24
                THE COURT: Thank you.
25
       BY MR. DELAUNAY:
```

- Q Was there instances where leakage was, in fact, experienced into the hull of the Innovator?
- 3 A There -- we have had seepage into the hull of the
- 4 Innovator.

- Q Okay. And how was that repaired?
- A When it was discovered, it was soft -- soft patches were installed, temporary repairs.
- 8 Q Okay. And who would have done that?
- 9 A That was done by Omega.
- Q Okay. And on other occasions, to avoid future leakage, did inspections attempt to identify corroded areas that might give
- 12 rise to future leakage?
- 13 A Yes.
- Q All right. And Omega was hired or instructed to assist in the repair of those areas, also?
- 16 A Yes.
- Q And as operator of the Innovator, it was the duty and responsibility of ATP to perform ongoing maintenance and repair to the Innovator, was it not?
- 20 A Yes.
- Q And Omega was used to carry out that responsibility. Is that correct?
- 23 A Yes.
- Q Were there safety reasons that maintenance was performed on the Innovator?

- A Not the work that you are referring to.
- 2 Q Okay. Are there safety concerns related to leakage into
- 3 the hull?

- 4 A Depending on the situation for that tank.
- 5 Q Okay. Am I correct, Mr. Pierson, that subsequent to filing
- 6 the bankruptcy, ATP could not have, as a practical matter,
- 7 operated its mineral properties without available services from
- 8 a contractor such as Omega?
- 9 A Correct.
- 10 Q And did ATP have any other contractors, such -- providing
- 11 services similar to Omega?
- 12 A I'm sorry. Would you repeat that, please?
- 13 Q Sure. Subsequent to the filing of bankruptcy, did ATP have
- 14 an agreement with any other contractors to provide services
- similar to that of -- those provided by Omega?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q Okay. And do they still have -- are those still providing
- 18 services?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Once the -- explain to me, please, once the -- let me back
- 21 up. You mentioned that there was an order to shut in
- 22 | production at -- part of the Innovator, effective April 30th.
- What caused that order to come down?
- 24 A I don't know the details of the -- of that order.
- 25 Q Okay. Did they relate to -- well, strike that.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q

Okay.

```
Once the order was in place, as an engineer, tells us,
could you just, at the end of the day, on April 30th, take
everybody on that platform, put them on a boat, and -- and just
leave it?
Α
    No.
    Okay. From a regulatory matter, you had to do certain
things to the platform before you could essentially leave the
platform or abandon the platform, correct?
    To an extent, yes.
    Some of the -- I mean, for instance, hulls and a couple of
the -- all the holes in the hull or between the different
levels of the hull had to be what, closed?
    You would have had to close your watertight access hatches.
    Right. And who was hired to do that?
    The normal columns, anything routine, the marine crew on
board the facility would have handled that.
    Do you know whether or not Omega was asked by your
representatives on that platform to perform that type of work?
    Omega would have done that for any tanks they were working
in.
    Okay. And so, if an order came down on April 30th, you
would not expect to see Omega's time or efforts or billing stop
exactly on April 30th.
    The -- no.
Α
```

```
1
           (Pause in proceedings.)
2
           Mr. Pierson, do you have any reason to believe that Omega
3
       performed any of the work for which it has submitted invoices,
4
       without authority from either you or your -- Farrow Management,
5
       your consultant, or one of your field foremen?
           No.
6
       Α
7
           (Pause in proceedings.)
8
                MR. DELAUNAY: Excuse me.
9
                THE COURT: Certainly.
10
           (Participants confer.)
11
                MR. DELAUNAY: Have we stipulated to 00?
12
                MR. KELLEY: There was no objection.
13
                MR. DELAUNAY: Thank you. No, because we had agreed
14
       last night on it.
15
                MR. KELLEY: Okay.
16
           (Participants confer.)
17
                MR. DELAUNAY: May I approach the witness, Judge?
18
                THE COURT: Yes, sir.
19
       BY MR. DELAUNAY:
20
           Mr. Pierson, I've handed you an exhibit entitled -- one
21
       marked as Exhibit 00. It consists of 25 pages. You've seen
22
       these documents before, have you not?
23
           (Witness reviews exhibit.)
24
           Yes.
25
           These are AFEs or "Authorizations for Expenditure" and
       Q
```

- requisitions relating to the operation of ATP's properties in the Gulf of Mexico.
- $3 \mid A \quad Yes.$
- Q Some of the AFEs, and for instance Page 1, show that it was prepared and approved by, and your name is there. When we see that on an AFE, it's safe to conclude that -- or can I conclude that you were adopting the preparation of the AFE?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q Is it safe to conclude that the AFE represents
 10 authorization for work that you felt to be necessary at ATP?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q Looking at Page 1 of Exhibit 00, do you see the objective portion of that AFE?
- 14 A Yes.
- Q Looking at the description of the work that was being
 authorized, that -- is that the type of work that Omega was
 hired to do from time to time for ATP?
- 18 A (Witness reviews exhibit.)
- 19 Yes.
- Q Looking at Page 2, which was another AFE bearing your name, like the previous one, that's an AFE for work on the Innovator?
- 22 A Yes.
- Q And once again, it's fair to say that this is work that you determined was necessary for the operation of the ATP?
- 25 A Yes.

- 1 Q And is the work that's described there in the objective 2 section the type of work that Omega was hired to do?
- 3 A (Witness reviews exhibit.)

4 Yes.

5

6

- Q We're not going to go through each one. But for instance,
 Page 5 of 25, if you look at that, is a -- that's what is known
 as a "reguisition." Is that correct?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q And that's a requisition made to Omega?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q And why -- could you explain why that -- this work would be 12 requested by way of a requisition, rather than an AFE, through 13 an AFE?
- A The -- a requisition is typically done for lease operating expenses of a small magnitude.
- 16 | Q Okay.
- 17 A The AFEs are typically done on larger magnitude projects.
- Q Looking at Page 7, who -- could you tell us who Ben
- Roseberry (phonetic) is? His name is shown at the bottom of that requisition.
- 21 A He was a production foreman on the Innovator.
- Q Okay. And it would not have been unusual for him, in that position, to make a requisition for approval for certain work; work such as this?
- 25 A That's correct.

- 1 Q Okay. Go to Page 13, if you would, please.
- 2 A (Witness reviews exhibit.)
- 3 Q It bears the name Lawrence LeBeouff (phonetic). Can you
- 4 tell the Court who that is, please?
- 5 A He's our senior production foreman in the Gulf of Mexico.
- 6 Q It would not have been unusual for him, from time to time,
- 7 to request -- make a request to Omega to perform -- for
- 8 performance of work?
- 9 A That's correct.
- 10 Q Page 14 is -- bears the name William Keith Kayes
- 11 (phonetic). Do you know who that is?
- 12 A I do.
- 13 Q Who is that, please?
- 14 A He was a production foreman on the Innovator.
- 15 Q Okay. Page 15 contains the -- an AFE -- it's an AFE which
- shows it was prepared by Will Paulson (phonetic). Who is Will
- 17 Paulson, please?
- 18 A Will Paulson is ATP's abandonment manager.
- 19 Q Is what? I'm sorry.
- 20 A ATP's plug and abandonment manager.
- 21 Q Okay. And would his job include preparing or determining
- 22 what sort of work might be necessary for ATP to meet its
- 23 | plugging and abandoning obligations?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q The last page of the exhibit is another requisition. It

```
1
       has Mr. LeBeouff's name. I think you've already told us who
2
       Mr. LeBeouff is.
3
           Mr. Pierson, if we look at Omega's invoices -- for example
4
       -- and as an example, if we look at Exhibit B in the book
5
       before you. Do you see that?
6
           (Witness reviews exhibits.)
7
           I do.
           All right. Does it show, in the upper-right-hand corner,
8
9
       there is a -- an AFE -- a number where -- a box where there's
10
       either a PO or an AFE number to be inserted?
11
       Α
           Yes.
12
           Is it customary for contractors, such as -- well, was it
13
       customary for Omega during this time, working for ATP, when it
14
       was asked to do work, to secure from you a number, an AFE
15
       number or a requisition number, for inclusion on its invoice to
16
       the company?
17
           Typically, yes.
18
           Okay. Do you have any reason to believe that the AFE
19
       numbers shown on Omega's invoices are other than the AFE
20
       numbers given to Omega at the time they were asked to perform
21
       the work?
22
           We have some occasions where they're miss --
```

And concerning the invoices that you have before you in the

-- the incorrect numbers are put down.

23

24

25

0

Α

Q

Okay.

1 exhibit book, do you have any reason to believe that the AFE 2 numbers or requisition numbers or PO numbers shown on Omega's 3 invoices are not the numbers given to them by ATP? MR. KELLEY: Lack of foundation. If he wants to ask 4 5 the witness if he's looked at them previously, if he's going 6 through this exercise --7 THE COURT: Sustained. 8 MR. KELLEY: -- but it's quite a big step. 9 THE COURT: Sustained. 10 BY MR. DELAUNAY: 11 Have you made any -- have you made an effort to determine, 12 as the chief engineer of operations, whether or not these AFE 13 numbers or PO numbers shown on Omega's invoices are something 14 other than numbers given by ATP? 15 MR. KELLEY: And just so we're clear on the Record, 16 I'm assuming that what he's being asked about is the stack of 17 invoices in front of the witness --18 MR. DELAUNAY: That's correct. 19 MR. KELLEY: -- and not all of the Omega invoices, in 20 general. 21 MR. DELAUNAY: No, the invoices that are here. 22 MR. KELLEY: Can we clear up the Record? 23 MR. DELAUNAY: Sure. 24 THE COURT: Go ahead. 25 THE WITNESS: Would you please repeat the question?

1 MR. DELAUNAY: Sure. 2 BY MR. DELAUNAY: 3 Looking at the invoices before you, have you -- do you have 4 any reason to believe -- do you -- that these -- the AFE 5 numbers shown on there are not the numbers given to Omega? MR. KELLEY: This is the same question he asked 6 7 earlier --8 MR. DELAUNAY: And I'd say -- I asked the wrong 9 question, Judge. I'll withdraw it. 10 BY MR. DELAUNAY: 11 Did you make any effort, Mr. Pierson, to determine whether 12 the AFE numbers on these invoices come from anywhere other than 13 ATP? 14 Since this book was handed to me a few moments ago? 15 No. Since the invoices were received by ATP. 16 MR. KELLEY: That's what my objection is. We had a 17 series of invoices from Omega. We are talking about a specific 18 subset here, and the questions need to be directed to these 19 invoices. That's what's getting muddled in the Record. 20 THE COURT: His question -- let me just try and reword 21 it. He's only talking about the invoices in front of you in 22 the book. 23 THE WITNESS: Right. 24 THE COURT: And he wants to know whether you have, 25 previously to today, tried to determine whether the invoices

and the AFEs that are listed on the invoices match to the

```
2
       actual AFEs that were authorized.
3
                THE WITNESS: Okay. Sitting here, I can't tell you
4
       that I've seen all of these before, but typically, when an
5
       invoice from Omega comes in, I will review it, review all the
6
       backup, and determine if that's -- if that information is
7
       correct. So I --
8
                THE COURT: So typically, you've done it, but you're
9
       not sure if you've done it with respect to each of these
10
       particular invoices.
11
                THE WITNESS: That's correct, Your Honor.
12
                THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.
13
       BY MR. DELAUNAY:
14
           And Mr. Pierson, if you find that an AFE is incorrect, do
```

you communicate that to Omega?

A Not necessarily. If it's a small invoice, we'll typically just scratch it up and make sure it gets allocated to the right AFE.

- Q But what you do do is make sure that there is an appropriate AFE or requisition for each invoice?
- 21 A That's correct.
- Q And if there is not an AFE or a requisition for every invoice that is appropriate, you would communicate that to Omega, would you not?
- 25 A Yes.

1

15

16

17

18

19

1 And to the best of your recollection, with respect to any 2 of these invoices before you, was Omega at any time notified 3 that they -- there was not an appropriate or proper AFE or requisition for the work shown in those invoices? 4 5 MR. KELLEY: It may call for speculation. I assume he 6 meant by this particular witness. 7 THE COURT: That was the question? 8 MR. DELAUNAY: Yes, that was the question. 9 THE WITNESS: I have no recollection of that. 10 (Pause in proceedings.) 11 BY MR. DELAUNAY: 12 Do you agree, Mr. Pierson, that the work performed by Omega 13 after the filing for bankruptcy enhanced the ability of ATP to 14 operate its mineral properties during the bankruptcy? 15 MR. KELLEY: I'm going to object to the relevance 16 because the depth of the question may be seeking testimony 17 about invoices that aren't at issue here. We're talking about 18 a specific subset of invoices that relate to an April and May 19 time frame, and that's what the question is, post-petition. So 20 I don't think it's relevant about all the other work that isn't 21 subject to this motion. 22 THE COURT: Overruled. I'll let you fix that up on 23 Cross. 24 THE WITNESS: Would you please repeat the question? 25 MR. DELAUNAY: If I can.

```
1
       BY MR. DELAUNAY:
2
           Do you agree, Mr. Pierson, that the services provided by
3
       Omega to ATP since the filing of bankruptcy enhanced the
4
       ability of ATP to operate its mineral properties during the
5
       course of the bankruptcy?
6
           I don't know that I would say it enhanced it.
7
           Well, okay. Did it -- did it help in the operation of its
8
       mineral properties?
9
                MR. KELLEY: Vague as to "help," Your Honor. I don't
10
       know if we're using the same definition for "help." I don't
11
       know what "help" means in this context.
12
                THE COURT: Overruled.
13
                Go ahead and answer if you know the answer to that
14
       question.
15
                THE WITNESS: Would you please repeat the question?
16
       BY MR. DELAUNAY:
17
           Do you agree that it helped ATP in the operation of its
18
       mineral properties?
19
           I would -- I would agree that it allowed us to -- to
20
       operate.
21
       Q Fair enough.
22
           (Pause in proceedings.)
23
                MR. DELAUNAY: One second, Judge.
24
           (Pause in proceedings.)
25
       BY MR. DELAUNAY:
```

```
1
           Mr. Pierson, did you assist -- or do you assist in the
2
       preparation of budgets for ATP?
3
           I do.
4
                MR. DELAUNAY: Judge, may I approach the witness?
5
                THE COURT: Yes, sir.
6
       BY MR. DELAUNAY:
7
           Mr. Pierson, I show you a budget which was attached to
8
       Docket No. 1996 in this case, which was either the motion for
9
       approval of a budget or the order approving the budget. I
       don't know where my copy went. But we talked -- we talked
10
11
       about this last night. Do you recall?
12
           I do.
       Α
13
           And it shows that a -- for Gomez properties, for June of
14
       2013, budgeted expenses of $440,000. Is that correct? For
15
       lease operating expenses?
16
           Yes.
       Α
17
           What would -- what would be included in that?
18
           I would anticipate that that would include normal operating
19
       personnel.
20
           Okay.
       Q
21
           I would --
       Α
22
       Q
           Just for Gomez properties?
23
           Yes.
24
           Would that include repairs and maintenance?
       Q.
```

Α

It could, but I don't --

```
1
       Q
           Okay.
           I can't tell by looking at this.
3
           Well, for June of 2013, if these properties were -- if the
4
       Innovator was shut down, what operating expenses would you have
5
       for those properties?
6
                MR. KELLEY: I'm not sure the foundation has been laid
7
       from this witness, Your Honor.
8
                MR. DELAUNAY: Okay.
9
                MR. KELLEY: Objection. Lack of foundation.
10
                THE COURT: Overruled. If he knows, he knows.
                                                                 If he
11
       doesn't know --
12
                MR. DELAUNAY: If you know.
13
                THE COURT: -- he can say he doesn't know.
                THE WITNESS: In June of 2014?
14
15
       BY MR. DELAUNAY:
16
           '13.
       Q
17
           Sorry. 2013?
       Α
18
           Right.
       0
19
           The facility was still fully manned. It was still
20
       floating, so it still had to be manned and maintained.
21
           You said it still had to be manned and maintained?
22
           The systems on it had to be maintained.
       Α
23
       0
           Okay.
24
           (Pause in proceedings.)
25
           Were you aware that, during the course of the bankruptcy
       Q
```

- proceedings, the -- although there was no sale of the Gomez properties, they were offered for sale?
- 3 A Yes.

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

- Q And did you assist, in any manner, in preparing data, in preparing information for potential bidders in connection with that proposed sale -- or auction, rather?
- 7 A Not that I recall.
 - Q Okay. Have you encountered -- during the operation -- I'm sorry.
 - Since the filing of the bankruptcy, have you had or encountered any issues with Government regulators relating to the condition of the Innovator, either from an environmental standpoint or a safety standpoint?
- A Which -- what -- are we talking about a specific group of regulators?
- 16 Q Any Government regulators.
- 17 A I believe the vessel has been inspected by Coast Guard,
 18 ABS, and BSEE.
- 19 Q And were there notations about items that needed to be corrected?
- 21 A If they found deficiencies.
- Q Okay. Would Omega have been involved in correction of deficiencies?
- 24 A They could have been.
- Q Well, did you know that there were -- do you know whether

```
1
       or not there were any deficiencies noted with respect to the
2
       Innovator during the period of time -- during -- since the
3
       filing of bankruptcy?
4
           I believe there may have been some BSEE deficiencies.
5
           Okay. And do you recall what those included?
6
       Α
           No.
7
                THE COURT: I'm sorry. "Do you recall" what?
8
                MR. DELAUNAY: What those included.
9
                THE COURT: Thank you.
10
       BY MR. DELAUNAY:
11
           As the operator of the Innovator, did ATP have an
12
       obligation to address safety issues when they were discovered
13
       immediately?
14
           It was our -- certainly our policy to do that.
15
           Okay. And if they involved construction needs, such as
16
       hanger repairs or grading repairs or things of that sort, would
17
       Omega -- I mean, would ATP rely on Omega's people on the
18
       platform to perform that type of work?
19
           They would have been one source, yes.
20
           Would you agree that, therefore, it was a benefit to ATP to
21
       have Omega's personnel on the Innovator?
22
                MR. KELLEY: Again, Your Honor, objection. Lack of
23
       specific time frame. I don't know how he can answer that
24
       question. Too vague.
25
                THE COURT: Overruled.
```

```
1
                THE WITNESS: Okay. You're asking me it benefitted
2
       ATP to have Omega on board?
3
       BY MR. DELAUNAY:
4
           Right.
5
           I don't believe that it was a benefit; I believe that it
6
       was -- I believe it served a service to have them on board.
7
           Okay. What service was that?
       Q
8
           To provide maintenance and repairs.
9
           Okay. Proper maintenance and repairs prevents having to
10
       shut a facility down?
11
           It could, but not necessarily.
12
           Well, one of the reasons you have a maintenance program or
13
       a repair program is so that you don't get into a situation
14
       where you have to shut a facility down to do repairs. Is that
15
       correct?
16
           That's certainly one reason.
17
           (Pause in proceedings.)
18
                MR. DELAUNAY: Judge, that's all the questions I have.
19
       I tender the witness.
20
                THE COURT: Thank you.
21
                Let me get a time announcement from a couple of folks.
22
                MR. KELLEY: Sure.
23
                THE COURT: And we're going to take a break in a
24
       minute --
25
                MR. DELAUNAY: Yes.
```

```
1
                THE COURT: -- and we will come back and finish the
2
       hearing. Mr. Wood and Mr. Cohen, how long do you anticipate
3
       needing for your hearing?
4
           (Unrelated matters discussed.)
5
                THE COURT: We're going to be at least another hour
       here, maybe longer than that.
6
7
                We're going to take a 15-minute break, an afternoon
8
       break. We'll resume this hearing at 3:14; we'll take up TMT at
9
       4:00.
              Thank you. You're welcome to stick around, Mr. Wood,
       I'm just not going to get to you until 4:00.
10
11
                MR. WOOD: Thank you.
12
                THE CLERK: All rise.
13
           (Recess taken from 2:59 p.m. to 3:15 p.m.)
14
           (Witness resumes stand.)
15
                THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Kelley.
16
                MR. KELLEY: Thank you, Your Honor.
17
                     CROSS-EXAMINATION OF MICHAEL PIERSON
18
       BY MR. KELLEY:
19
           Good afternoon, Mr. Pierson. I apologize. Could you
20
       repeat for me again your title at ATP?
21
           Chief Operations Engineer.
       Α
22
           About how long have you had a relationship with Omega?
23
           I don't know exactly, but I've worked with Omega since the
24
       early '90s.
25
         What kind of -- describe what sort of services they
```

```
1 provide, please.
```

- A Omega has a fabrication facility. They build platforms,
- 3 they build production equipment, skids, instrumentation,
- 4 electrical.
- Q For the layman, is it predominantly working with metal, if you will?
- you wiii:
- 7 A Metal and instrumentation, controls -- pneumatic controls,
- 8 electrical controls.
- 9 Q And how long have -- you were asked by counsel for Omega questions about work that Omega performed post-petition.
- Do you recall, for the Record, when the petition date was?
- 12 A Yes, it was August 17th of 2012.
- 13 Q Two thousand -- can you pull the microphone a little closer
- 14 to your mouth. You're very soft-spoken, but there are people
- in the courtroom --
- 16 A Sorry. August 17th, 2012.
- 17 Q Thank you.
- And did Omega do work post-petition for ATP?
- 19 A They did.
- 20 Q Starting in that time frame, the August and September time
- 21 | frame?
- 22 A I don't believe that they started construction work for us
- 23 until a little later in the year.
- Q October/November?
- 25 A Yes.

```
1
           And Omega -- was Omega working on a couple of different
2
       properties, one specific property; what were they doing in that
3
       time frame?
           In October and November 2012, when we mobilized them -- re-
4
5
       mobilized them on Gomez Mississippi Canyon 711. I don't recall
6
       if they were working anywhere else for us at that time.
7
           Do you recall how many invoices you got from Omega for work
8
       they did in 2012?
9
       Α
           No.
10
           And in that October/November -- and did they continue to
11
       work in December of 2012?
12
           I believe so.
       Α
13
           To your knowledge, have all those invoices been paid?
14
           To my knowledge?
       Α
15
           Yes, sir.
       Q
16
       Α
           Yes.
17
           Yes, they have been paid?
       0
18
       Α
           Yes.
19
           I'm sorry. You -- it's drifting off to the side of the
20
       microphone. I just want to make sure --
21
       Α
           Oh.
22
           -- that people can hear.
23
           And did Omega do work in January and February; do you
24
       recall?
```

I don't recall specifically.

- 1 Q To the extent they did any work, are you aware of any unpaid invoices for Omega in January or February of 2013?
- 3 A I'm not aware of any.
- Q To the extent they did work, and to the extent they
 invoiced them, do you believe they were paid for that work, if
 they did it in that time frame?
- 7 A I believe so.
- Q You're aware that they have done some work in March and
 April and May 2013?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q And there's a stack of invoices, and some of them you testified you've looked at. Have you looked at all of them?
- 13 A It's possible that I have.
- 14 Q As you sit here now, do you know, one way or the other?
- 15 A No, I don't know.
- Q Omega has been paid for some of that work, in that
 March/April/May time frame.
- 18 A That's my understanding.
- Q Who would have issued those checks and -- and taken -- and sent them from ATP; would that have been your department?
- 21 A No.
- 22 Q Which department would that have been?
- 23 A It would have been our accounting group.
- Q So if I'm going to ask you which invoices remain paid and which invoices aren't currently paid, are you going to be the

- 1 person who's going to have that answer?
- 2 A No.
- 3 Q So as you sit here now with that stack of invoices in front
- 4 of you in that notebook, do you know how many of those were
- 5 paid and how many remain unpaid?
- 6 A No, I don't.
- 7 Q You're aware some of them have been paid, though?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q And with respect to the work -- strike that.
- 10 Was there -- are there a particular month or months for
- work that we're really talking about today, for which Omega has
- 12 | not yet been paid?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q And what would those months be?
- 15 A To my knowledge, it's April and May.
- Q And have they not been paid for any of their April and May,
- or have they not been paid for certain of their April and May?
- 18 A I believe it's certain.
- 19 Q For example, has Omega done work on High Island -- is it
- 20 589?
- 21 A Yes, High Island A-589.
- 22 0 589?
- 23 A They have.
- 24 Q And has Omega been paid for the work it's done on High
- 25 | Island 589?

```
A It's my understanding they have.
```

- Q How about Ship Shoal 77? Or did I say that wrong? Was there a Ship Shoal -- let me ask the right property.
- 4 (Participants confer.)
- 5 Q Ship Shoal 358?
- 6 A Yes.

2

- 7 Q Has Omega done work on that?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q To your knowledge, has Omega been paid for that work?
- 10 A I believe they have.
- 11 Q How about Mississippi Canyon 941; has Omega been paid for
- 12 that work?
- 13 A Yes, I believe so.
- 14 Q Now those three properties that I mentioned to you, do you
- 15 -- is there anything unique about those three properties? Do
- you know, for example, what the -- what's supposed to happen to
- those properties in the bankruptcy Estate?
- 18 A Those -- those three properties are being purchased by the
- 19 -- the key properties that are being purchased.
- 20 Q So these are properties that the Estate has been able to
- 21 sell, to find a purchaser for?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q What was the -- if we -- I understand you're not the
- 24 numbers person on this. What is the vast amount of the work
- 25 that remains unpaid, what property was that on?

- A Mississippi Canyon 711.
- $2 \mid Q$ And just so that the Record is clear, when you describe it
- 3 as "Mississippi Canyon 711," are they out working in the water,
- 4 or are they working on a particular piece of property?
- 5 A They're working on a facility.
- 6 Q Which facility is that referring to, when we say
- 7 | "Mississippi Canyon 711"?
- 8 A It's the ATP Innovator.
- 9 | Q Now the Innovator, what -- can you describe that for the
- 10 Record, please.
- 11 A The Innovator is a semi-submersible FOI.
- 12 | 0 Who owns the Innovator?
- 13 A The Innovator is owned by ATP IP.
- 14 O That is not the Debtor here.
- 15 A No.

- 16 Q And the vast amount of work that remains unpaid, Omega was
- performing services on the Innovator. Could you describe, to
- 18 the best of your ability, what type of services that Omega was
- 19 performing in that April/May time frame on the Innovator?
- 20 A They were replacing -- they were performing maintenance and
- 21 repairs. They were fabricating T stiffeners, ring girder
- 22 sections, doing bulkhead replacements as needed.
- 23 Q Would you describe any of this as emergency work or routine
- 24 | maintenance?
- 25 A This would have been routine.

```
How were these tasks identified that Omega was asked to do
1
2
       these services?
3
           We had -- our third-party inspector went out and went --
4
       with a cleaning crew, they went through the entire facility,
5
       through all the hull compartments, and identified work that
6
       needed to be done for maintenance.
7
           Was any of this work that you had requested in this time
8
       frame the result of any requirement by Coast Guard or some
9
       other regulatory inspection or demand or mandate?
10
                MR. DELAUNAY: Well, let me just enter an objection.
11
       Lack of foundation. I'm not -- if I could just get on the
12
       Record, I guess the witness can say that he personally
13
       inspected -- or he personally asked for that work. He wouldn't
14
       know -- but to the extent he knows that, no objection. But I
15
       just think there's a lack of foundation as to his knowledge.
16
                THE COURT: Overruled. You can deal with that on
17
       Cross-examination.
18
                MR. DELAUNAY: Okay.
19
       BY MR. KELLEY:
20
           Let me just make sure we've got this correct. You
21
       requested some of this work?
22
       Α
           Yes.
23
           And other people may have requested some of this work?
```

our third-party inspectors go through the facility, and I --

Well, the way the scope of work was developed was we had

24

- and then, from that, they recommended work to be done. And then I approved their recommendation.
 - Q So you were involved in the process by which their recommendations got converted into requests to do work.
 - A Correct.
- Q With respect to the work for which you were involved in the process, was any of that the result of an
- 8 order/mandate/requirement from any of the regulatory bodies?
- 9 A No.

3

4

5

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

- 10 Q At the time -- strike that.
 - Was any of the work in that April/May time frame that Omega performed done to avoid any -- or to remedy any spill, leak, or other urgent situation on the platform?
- 14 A No.
 - Q You testified earlier in response to some questions from counsel for Omega about general post-petition and general types of scope of work that Omega was asked to do. Was -- were you answering those questions in the broad sense, or were you speaking specifically to this stack of unpaid invoices when you gave that general testimony?
- 21 A I was speaking to post-petition work.
- 22 | Q Just in general?
- 23 A In general.
- Q Are you aware of any seeps or leaks or anything like that that were being addressed in the April and May time frame by

Omega on the hull?

1

7

8

9

- 2 A No, I'm not aware of any.
- Q Are you aware of any dire emergency, any -- any failed
 stairwells, any safety issue that was -- required urgent repair
- 5 by Omega in that time frame?
- 6 A No, I'm not aware of any.
 - Q You were asked some questions about maintenance and what could happen if things were not continued to be maintained, and went through a series of examples; listing and potential environmental issues.
- Do you recall that line of questioning?
- 12 A I do.
- 13 Q The type of work that Omega was performing in the April or
 14 May time frame, if that hadn't been performed at all, was there
 15 any type of catastrophe that you foresee happening from that
 16 over the next six to eight to ten months?
- 17 A No.
- 18 Q I want to make sure we're using the same terminology for
 19 the Record. You were asked questions about MMS-required
 20 repairs. You understand MMS was the predecessor name for the
 21 regulatory body?
- 22 A I do.
- Q And again, in the April and May time frame, none of these
 were MMS or its successor entity's required repairs. Is that
 -- do I understand your testimony correctly?

```
1
           That's correct.
       Α
2
           You were asked a general question about, did Omega provide
3
       services that -- or did you agree that Omega's services allowed
4
       ATP to operate. Do you remember that question you were asked?
5
           I do.
6
           I want to focus now on the unpaid invoices for April or
7
       May. Did any of the work that was performed in April or May by
8
       Omega with respect to the Innovator, or any of the work that
9
       remained unpaid, allow ATP to continue to operate?
10
           I -- no, I don't believe so.
11
           Okay. And in fact, the Innovator was shut down at some
12
       point, or the production from that platform was shut down at
13
       that point.
14
           That's correct.
15
                THE COURT: At which point?
16
       BY MR. KELLEY:
17
           In April 2013? Do you -- well, let me ask it this way: At
18
       what point in time was the production on the -- shut down on
19
       the Gomez hub and the Innovator platform?
20
           It was shut in, in late April of 2013.
21
           To your knowledge --
22
                THE COURT: I'm sorry.
23
                MR. KELLEY: -- has it ever --
24
                THE COURT: Did you give a date?
25
                THE WITNESS: I did not give a specific date, Your
```

```
1
       Honor.
2
       BY MR. KELLEY:
3
           To your knowledge, was it ever put back on production?
4
       Α
           No.
5
                THE COURT: Do you know an exact date when it was shut
6
       down?
7
                THE WITNESS: No, sir, I don't.
8
       BY MR. KELLEY:
9
           You were asked some questions about participating in the
10
       budget. Do you remember that?
11
           (No verbal response.)
12
           Which budget were you referring to when you answered that
13
       question?
14
           I put together a -- I maintain a lease operating work-over
15
       budget, for all of the AFE projects that we do.
16
           Who do you present that to within the company or give it
17
       to, so that they can incorporate it into the global budget?
18
           I -- I present it to our -- to George Morris, who then
19
       reviews it and prints it to -- presents it to Opportune.
20
           And then -- and are you involved in the decisions of what
21
       items from that ultimately make it into the budgets that appear
22
       before the Court?
23
           No.
24
           That is something between ATP's senior management and
25
       Opportune?
```

```
1
       Α
           Yes.
2
           Okay. Are there other contractors who provide the same
3
       service that Omega has provided post-petition?
4
           There are.
5
           And could you just give us the name of one or two of those
       types of contractors, just by way of example?
6
7
           Performance Energy Services.
       Α
           (Pause in proceedings.)
8
9
                THE COURT: Mr. Kelley, he's a very literal man.
10
       asked him for one or two. He gave you one.
11
                MR. KELLEY: That's fair. That's fair.
12
       BY MR. KELLEY:
13
           Mr. Pierson, has Performance Energy Services provided work
       for ATP?
14
15
         Yes, they have.
       Α
16
           They've provided some post-petition?
17
          Yes, they have.
       Α
18
           With respect to the invoices that remain unpaid, which is,
19
       I believe you testified, a subset of those that appear in this
20
       notebook. Do you know whether you've reviewed and approved all
21
       of those?
22
           I do not.
23
           Did you -- do you know whether you or any -- to your
24
       knowledge, anyone at ATP has reviewed -- or whether some of
```

these expenses are actually expenses that should be incurred

```
1
       from a bankruptcy context or benefit the Estate?
2
           I -- no, I don't.
3
           You did not perform that type of review.
4
       Α
           No.
5
                MR. KELLEY: No further questions, Your Honor.
6
                THE COURT: Thank you.
7
                Any other party have any further questions for Mr.
8
       Pierson?
9
                MR. DELAUNAY: Yes, Judge.
10
                THE COURT: Mr. deLaunay.
11
           (Participants confer.)
12
                MR. DELAUNAY: Judge, if I just might make a statement
13
       for the Court regarding what invoices are paid and unpaid?
14
                With respect to Exhibit MM, Your Honor, and the check
15
       that is marked as Exhibit NN, the check on Exhibit NN
16
       represents payments or we would acknowledge receipt of payments
17
       for the invoices that are shown at the bottom of page --
18
           (Participants confer.)
19
                MR. DELAUNAY: Of the exhibit page bearing ATP Bates
20
       No. 462, which is the first page of Exhibit MM, of --
21
                THE COURT: Wait, M --
22
                MR. DELAUNAY: -- $7,631 --
23
                THE COURT: Of MM?
24
                MR. DELAUNAY: MM, yes, Judge.
25
                THE COURT: MM was not admitted.
```

```
1
                MR. DELAUNAY: No, it's -- okay. I'd offer -- maybe I
2
       can clear it up, so that we can offer it. What I'm trying to
3
       show is which ones are paid, and this one has been paid.
4
           (Participants confer.)
5
                MR. DELAUNAY: Well, I just wanted to enter -- and we
6
       can stipulate if you want, that some of the -- which invoices
7
       have been paid, which if --
8
                MR. KELLEY: I'm happy to try to reach a stipulation,
9
       Your Honor, if you'll give me a few minutes to speak with the
10
       client, and maybe that --
11
           (Participants confer.)
12
                MR. DELAUNAY: Okay. Good.
13
                   REDIRECT EXAMINATION OF MICHAEL PIERSON
14
       BY MR. DELAUNAY:
15
           Mr. Pierson, you were asked about invoices that may have
16
       been paid. You were specifically asked about invoices relating
17
       to High Island A-589 and Ship Shoal 258. Do you recall those
18
       questions?
19
           Ship Shoal 358? Yes, sir.
20
           And your answer was that it was your understanding that
21
       those invoices had been paid?
22
       Α
           Yes, sir.
23
           And what was the basis of that understanding?
24
           I was advised of that by our CFO earlier this afternoon.
       Α
25
           Okay. Did you talk about what other invoices had been paid
       Q
```

```
1 or what invoices remained unpaid?
```

- 2 A No. We only talked about which invoices had been paid.
- 3 | Q Now are you familiar with South Timber 77, Number 6?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 | Q What is that, for the Court?
- 6 A It is a small well protector platform.
- 7 Q And is that platform owned by ATP?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q Okay. Excuse me.
- 10 (Pause in proceedings.)
- 11 Q Look at exhibits -- if you would look at your exhibit book
- 12 to Exhibit T, please; T and U.
- 13 A (Witness reviews exhibits.)
- 14 Q Do you see Exhibit T? Let's look at that one first.
- 15 | A Okay.
- 16 Q In the description of work on the first page of the
- invoice, let's see, it talks about heliport skirting repairs.
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q Are you aware that that was done?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 | Q Okay. And Exhibit U would also relate to heliport skirting
- repairs to the same platform?
- 23 A Yes, assuming that the information is correct.
- 24 Q Okay. Why were those repairs done?
- 25 A This heliport had been placed out of service, and so the

- 1 repairs were done to return the heliport to service.
- 2 Q Okay. And was that an obligation of ATP?
- $3 \mid A \mid No.$
- Q Okay. Why was it done, or why did ATP choose to expend
- 5 those funds?
- 6 A Well, ATP -- ATP just elected to return the heliport to
- 7 service because, without it, we would have -- we would have
- 8 been limited to transfer to and from the locations by boat
- 9 only.
- 10 Q So you felt that this would further the ability of ATP to
- operate its mineral properties in the -- in the vicinity?
- 12 A It would have made it more convenient to operate this
- 13 facility.
- 14 Q Okay. This was something that you, in good faith, as an
- 15 engineer, felt was in the best interest of ATP at the time that
- 16 you authorized this work?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q Do you know if that invoice has been paid?
- 19 A I don't -- I don't know.
- 20 Q Okay. Generally speaking -- or are you familiar with ATP's
- 21 regulatory obligations for maintenance of its facilities?
- 22 A Generally speaking.
- 23 Q Okay. And in a general sense, what is your understanding?
- 24 A Well, basically, we maintain our platforms in a manner to
- eliminate noncompliance with the regulations.

- Q Okay. And what is your understanding of the regulations?
- 2 A There are literally thousands of regulations.
- 3 | Q In a general sense, I mean, do these regulations include
- 4 requirements that you maintain the facility in a safe manner?
- 5 A Yes.

- 6 Q That you maintain your facility in a manner to avoid risks
- 7 or hazards -- risk of injury or hazards to person and to
- 8 property and the environment?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q And in furtherance of those obligations, one of the things
- 11 you do, am I correct, is you adopt and implement maintenance
- 12 procedures for your facilities?
- 13 A We have maintenance facilities, yes.
- 14 Q And one of the reasons you have that is to comply with your
- 15 obligations to maintain your facilities in a safe manner, to
- avoid risk of injury to person or property.
- 17 A That's -- that's one of the results.
- 18 Q And in fact, that's one of the reasons you had the
- 19 maintenance program aboard the Innovator.
- 20 A Is for --
- 21 | Q Maintaining your facilities, to avoid the risk of injury or
- 22 hazard to person or property.
- 23 A That is one of the -- the goals of this system, yes.
- 24 Q Okay. And work of Omega was in furtherance of those goals,
- 25 correct?

- A Are you asking me if the Omega work was -- that the purpose of that was to -- was safety?

 O No. It was in furtherance of your goals of maintenance --
 - Q No. It was in furtherance of your goals of maintenance -of meeting your maintenance program, of satisfying your
 maintenance program, correct?
 - A Yes.

4

5

- Q And your maintenance program was in furtherance of your goal of satisfying regulations, to prevent injuries or hazards to person and property.
- 10 A That was one of the goals, yes.
- 11 Q Okay. And when you authorized Omega to perform this -12 these maintenance and repair tasks in April and May, which are
 13 described in the various invoices, you believed, in good faith,
 14 that this was necessary for ATP?
- 15 | A I did.
- 16 | Q Necessary for what?
- 17 A To maintain the facility.
- Q Okay. To avoid the -- strike that.
- 19 (Pause in proceedings.)
- Q Maintenance of your facility, and in particular, the
 Innovator, is part of the function of operating the Innovator.
- Is that correct?
- 23 A Yes.
- Q You were obligated to operate the Innovator up until the time it was abandoned?

```
1
           Up until the time it will be abandoned.
2
       Q
           Okay.
3
                THE COURT: Let me talk about what you mean.
4
       "Abandoned" bankruptcy, or "abandoned" --
5
                MR. DELAUNAY: Okay. And that's probably a very bad
6
       question, Judge.
7
                THE COURT: That would be best.
8
                MR. DELAUNAY: Let me redo it.
9
                THE COURT: Yeah.
10
       BY MR. DELAUNAY:
11
           Okay. Up until the time that the wells were shut in, you
       were operating this facility, correct?
12
13
       Α
           Yes.
14
           And you were producing hydrocarbons from the facility?
15
       Α
           Yes.
16
           And part of that operation was contributed to by Omega by
17
       the services it provided.
18
           The maintenance, yes.
19
           Now are you aware of the work that Omega did subsequent to
20
       the time that the wells were shut in?
21
       Α
           Generally, yes.
22
           Are you aware of the -- are you aware of the repairs and
23
       maintenance that was going on at the time that the wells were
24
       shut in?
25
       Α
         Yes.
```

- 1 Q What sort of repairs were going on?
- 2 A They were in the late phases of working in the tank that
- they were in, which was they were working on bulkhead repairs.
- 4 Q Okay.
- 5 A They were working on ring girders, longitudinal members.
- 6 Q And what did these bulkhead repairs consist of?
- 7 A The bulkhead repairs is basically replacing steel plate.
- 8 Q Okay. And at the time of the -- after the wells were shut
- 9 in, were there open holes that needed to be closed before they
- 10 | could leave the Innovator?
- 11 A Yes. They -- Omega cut holes as they went down into the
- 12 hull, so before they could leave, those would have to be
- 13 closed.
- 14 Q And why is that?
- 15 A To restore the integrity of the hull and the compartments,
- 16 if you will.
- 17 Q Why was that important to ATP?
- 18 A To maintain the stability of the facility.
- 19 Q Okay. If these holes had not been closed, what are the
- 20 risks?
- 21 A If they pulled out and left the holes open, then it would
- 22 limit you on your ability to stabilize the rig.
- 23 | Q Okay. And what is the risk of that?
- 24 A That depends on what -- what situation it's put into.
- 25 Q It could cause a catastrophe, right?

```
1
           That's one of the potential outcomes.
2
           And are you aware that, after the wells were shut in, Omega
3
       was requested to stay around in order to -- the term I think
4
       they use is "safe-operate," to close the holes and get things
5
       in an orderly condition before leaving the rig?
6
           Yes, that was the final action.
7
           They were asked to stay around, so that the rig would be
8
       left in a safe -- a more safe condition than what it was at the
9
       time that the wells were shut down.
10
           Yes.
11
                MR. DELAUNAY: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Pierson.
                THE COURT: Anything further?
12
13
                MR. KELLEY: Yes, please.
14
                    RECROSS-EXAMINATION OF MICHAEL PIERSON
15
       BY MR. KELLEY:
16
           You were asked a question about South Timbalier 77?
17
       Α
           Yes.
18
           Is that one of the rejected properties?
19
           Yes, it is.
       Α
20
       0
           So that's one that ATP no longer owns?
21
           Correct.
       Α
22
           And that platform is one that ATP has abandoned?
23
           That we've abandoned? I don't believe that that's been
24
       abandoned, but --
25
       Q
         Do you know?
```

```
1
           I do not know.
       Α
2
                THE COURT: Are you using -- again, are you using
3
       "abandoned" in the sense of plugging and abandonment under
4
       BSEE, or are you using "abandoned" in terms of bankruptcy
5
       abandonment?
6
                MR. KELLEY: I'm using it in terms of bankruptcy
7
       abandonment, leaving behind --
8
                THE COURT: I don't think the --
9
                MR. KELLEY: -- walking away from.
10
                THE COURT: I don't believe the witness is, so let's
11
       ask the question in a way that I know what we're talking about.
12
       BY MR. KELLEY:
13
           Are you aware, in this bankruptcy case, whether -- and with
14
       the discussions that have gone on with BOEM, whether ATP has
15
       walked away from South Timbalier 77 and that platform?
16
           Yes, we have.
17
           Okay. So when I was using the word "abandon," I mean to
18
       walk away from. That's one of the properties that's been left
19
       behind.
20
       Α
          Yes.
21
          With the Government?
       0
22
       Α
           Yes.
23
           Okay. Now you were talking about -- at the very end of the
24
       last couple of questions, you were asked by counsel for Omega
```

-- you were talking about replacing some cut out holes. What

1 does that mean? 2 When the -- when the crews entered -- to get into the 3 pontoons to perform the work, they would enter through a manway 4 up on the main deck and go down into the columns. As they go 5 into the columns, there's a watertight manway at each level. 6 It's a series of compartments in the columns. So each column 7 at each level would be opened up to allow them to access down to the -- to the pontoons. Then, once they got to the pontoon, 8 9 they would open a series of holes to allow them to get into the 10 desired tank. 11 THE COURT: By "opening the holes," do you mean they 12 would take torches and cut holes, or do you mean they would 13 open hatches --14 THE WITNESS: Both. THE COURT: -- or something else? 15 16 THE WITNESS: Both. 17 THE COURT: So there was some just opening and closing 18 of hatches. 19 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 20 THE COURT: And that's -- is that just removing a 21 series of bolts? 22 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 23 THE COURT: And then there were other instances where 24 they actually cut steel to get -- to make a hole? 25 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

```
1
                THE COURT: Okay.
2
       BY MR. KELLEY:
3
           And do you know, with respect to the pontoon that they were
4
       working on in that April/May time frame, which they had to do,
5
       whether they had to unbolt hatches or unscrew hatches, or
6
       whether they had to cut hatches; do you know?
7
           I believe it was a series of both.
8
           And as part of their leaving the vessel, they had to
9
       replace those pieces of metal; either re-bolt them or weld back
10
       in the plate?
11
           Yes, they had to either reinstall the plate that had been
12
       cut out and weld it up, or they would reinstall the manway,
13
       bolt it out.
14
           And that was the safety item you were talking about that
15
       needed to be done to avoid some problem?
16
           Yes.
17
           Okay. Were those the specific acts you were referring to
18
       when you were testifying about the safety issue, just replacing
19
       those pieces of metal?
20
       Α
           Yes.
21
           Have you looked at the invoices and tried to figure out how
22
       much of the work that's billed on those invoices were those
23
       specific tasks?
24
          No, I haven't.
       Α
25
          Have you identified -- strike that.
```

```
1
           You were asked some general questions about maintenance and
2
       the maintenance program associated with the platform. Who was
3
       responsible for overseeing maintenance on the Innovator
4
       platform?
5
       Α
           I am.
           How about more specifically on the platform, who's
6
7
       responsible?
8
           On the marine side, it would be the offshore installation
9
       manager. On --
10
           And -- I'm sorry.
11
           On the production side, it would be the production foreman.
       Α
12
           And how many people manned the platform at that time?
       0
13
           The typical manning level is between twenty-five and thirty
14
       men.
15
           Did any of those twenty-five or thirty have maintenance
16
       responsibility?
17
       Α
           Yes.
18
           What were those responsibilities? I don't want to get into
19
       all the details. Is it safe to say those responsibilities were
20
       fairly broad?
21
       Α
           Yes.
22
           Equipment, maintaining equipment?
       Q
23
       Α
           Yes.
24
           Maintaining integrity of the vessel?
       Q
25
       Α
           Yes.
```

```
1
           And these are GreyStar and ATP employees?
2
           Yes. For the most part, yes.
       Α
3
           Were there other consultants, contractors and others, out
4
       there out on the platform who were part of the maintenance of
5
       that platform?
6
           Yes, they would come in as they were needed.
7
           I just want to make sure the Record is clear. And Omega
       Q.
8
       was just providing certain discrete tasks in this time frame?
9
       Α
           Yes.
10
           And these were the ones that we've already talked about?
11
       Α
           Yes.
12
                MR. KELLEY: Okay. No further questions, Your Honor.
13
                THE COURT: Anything else?
                MR. DELAUNAY: No, that's all.
14
                        EXAMINATION OF MICHAEL PIERSON
15
16
                BY THE COURT: You described that the platform was
17
       owned by ATP IP, I think.
18
                THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
19
                THE COURT: Was, from an internal point of view, ATP
20
       IP, or was ATP corporate the one that was doing the work on the
21
       Innovator platform?
22
                THE WITNESS: ATP Corp.
23
                THE COURT: Corp. So the maintenance work was ATP
24
       Corp.
25
                THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
```

```
1
                THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. If you want to step
2
       down --
3
                MR. KELLEY: Can I just ask a follow-up?
                THE COURT: You may.
4
5
                MR. KELLEY: Because of your question.
6
                FURTHER RECROSS-EXAMINATION OF MICHAEL PIERSON
7
       BY MR. KELLEY:
8
           Are you familiar with the Platform Use Agreement, the
9
       contract that relates to that platform?
10
           No, I'm not.
11
           Did you -- not familiar with it, meaning you didn't know it
12
       existed, or not familiar with it, you don't know what it says?
13
           I don't know the details of it. I know it exists.
14
           Did you work -- did you have any understanding at all as to
15
       whether that had any sort of requirements about maintenance for
16
       the platform?
17
       Α
           Yes.
18
           What was your understanding?
19
           My understanding is that ATP Corp., as operator, would
20
       maintain the facility.
21
           And so your understanding was that was a contractual
22
       relationship?
23
           Yes.
24
                MR. KELLEY: Thank you.
25
                THE COURT: Thank you. All right. You can step down.
```

```
1
           (Witness excused.)
2
                THE COURT: Mr. deLaunay.
3
                MR. DELAUNAY: Your Honor, we're going to call
4
       Mr. Greg Sandoz.
5
                THE COURT: All right. Mr. Sandoz.
                MR. DELAUNAY: And Judge, if I could alert the Court
6
7
       that Mr. Sandoz has some hearing issues.
8
                THE COURT: All right.
9
                MR. DELAUNAY: And so, essentially, we try to have him
10
       see our face when he speaks to us, because he does a lot of
11
       lip-reading.
12
                THE COURT: Mr. Sandoz, do earphones help or not help?
13
                MR. SANDOZ: No.
14
                THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Let us know if you're
15
       not understanding us.
16
                MR. SANDOZ: Okay.
17
                THE COURT: Thank you. Would you -- would you raise
18
       your right hand, please, sir?
19
                  GREGORY SANDOZ, WITNESS FOR OMEGA, SWORN.
20
                THE COURT:
                            Thank you.
21
                     DIRECT EXAMINATION OF GREGORY SANDOZ
22
       BY MR. DELAUNAY:
23
           State your name for the Record, please.
24
           Gregory Sandoz.
       Α
25
           And Mr. Sandoz, by whom are you employed?
```

- 1 A Omega Natchiq.
- 2 Q And what is your position with Omega?
- 3 A I'm the Offshore On-site Operation Manager.
- 4 Q Do you know Mr. Michael Pierson?
- 5 A Yes, sir, I do.
- 6 Q Did you have occasion to deal with Mr. Pierson from time to
- 7 time during -- over the years, while Omega had a relationship
- 8 with ATP?
- 9 A Yes, sir, I do.
- 10 Q Mr. Sandoz, subsequent to the filing of bankruptcy by ATP,
- did you have occasion to speak to Mr. Pierson?
- 12 A Yes, sir, I did.
- 13 | Q And what was that about?
- 14 A Just a lot of stuff, work and the stuff we've done in the
- past and work that was coming up.
- 16 Q And did -- was there any discussion with Mr. Pierson about
- Omega continuing to do work post-petition, after the filing of
- 18 the bankruptcy?
- 19 A Yes, sir.
- 20 \ Q Are you familiar with the work that was being done, in
- 21 general, the work that was being done on the Innovator?
- 22 A Yes, sir.
- 23 Q Did you speak to -- did you have occasion to discuss with
- 24 any representatives, anyone representing ATP, the requests or
- 25 the orders for that work?

```
1
           Yes, sir. Me and Mike talked occasionally about the work
2
       being done out there.
3
           Okay. Did mister -- what did Mr. Pierson tell you,
4
       concerning the need for Omega on that platform?
5
           Prior or post?
6
       0
          Post.
7
          Post. Well, I mean, basically, they needed us out there,
       Α
8
       it was necessary for us to be in there and repair the hull.
9
           Okay.
10
           Not just the hull; the column, the pontoon, basically, and
11
       the hull.
12
           And were you -- are you aware, not necessarily of the
13
       particular date, but at some point, the production at that
14
       facility on the Innovator was shut in?
15
           No, sir, I wasn't.
16
           Okay. But are you aware now that that -- do you recall
17
       that there came a time when they told you shut -- production
18
       was being shut in, and you would have to go in?
19
          Yes, sir.
       Α
20
           Okay. At the time -- up until --
21
                THE COURT: Well, wait. I don't know what you mean.
22
       I don't know what you would mean "and you would have to go in."
23
                THE WITNESS: Okay.
24
                THE COURT: So I just need clarification, so I'm
25
       understanding.
```

```
1
                MR. DELAUNAY: Yeah, let me do that.
2
       BY MR. DELAUNAY:
3
           Did there come a time when Omega was advised that
4
       production on the Innovator would be shut in, and Omega's crews
5
       on the Innovator would no longer be needed?
6
       Α
          Yes, sir.
7
           Okay. And after that time, when the production was shut
8
       in, was Omega asked to stay and perform some additional work on
9
       the Innovator, before it took its tools and equipment and
10
       people and went in?
11
           I don't recall exactly a time frame of when, but we were
12
       asked to finish up before we came in.
13
           Okay. What does -- the term "safe-out." What does that
14
       mean to you?
15
           Basically, to get the facility back safe, working
16
       operation --
17
           Okay.
18
           -- so that when we pull off of there, they won't have any
19
       kind of issues, someone could get hurt or cause the platform to
20
       tip or anything that we were working on.
21
           Okay. And in the -- in the period of April and May 2013,
22
       do you recall that Omega was doing some column repairs?
23
           Yes, sir.
24
           And did that involve cutting holes in the -- between the
25
       different levels of the Innovator and going into the columns?
```

1 Yes, sir. Α 2 And did that also involve cutting holes in the pontoons on 3 the bottom, and going into different compartments in the 4 pontoons? 5 Yes, sir. 6 And subsequent to the shut-in, was the work Omega did after 7 the shut-in requested to make sure that all of these holes were 8 closed? 9 Yes, sir. 10 THE COURT: Let me be sure I understand. So after you 11 learned of the shut-in, were you asked to leave safely, or were 12 you asked to finish the ongoing work and then to leave safely? 13 THE WITNESS: We were asked to finish safely, after 14 putting everything back safe, as far as the way it would be in 15 operation. 16 THE COURT: If you were in the middle of a repair, did 17 you cease the repair and simply safe-out, or did you finish the repair and then safe-out? 18 19 THE WITNESS: That would have to be simultaneously --20 that would have to be done to be safe, then you back your way 21 out of it, and you work your way up through the -- through the 22 -- through the column. 23 THE COURT: Right. But let's assume that you had 24 entered a column for the purpose of re-plating a girder.

THE WITNESS: Okay.

```
1
                THE COURT: And then they said, okay, leave. Did you
2
       re-plate the girder, or did you just leave safe-out and close
3
       the holes?
4
                THE WITNESS: I can't really tell you one way or the
5
       other if it was a girder or what. But backing up, you
       basically have to close out each piece and put it back to where
6
7
       it's back -- the integrity of the facility.
8
                THE COURT: And is that all you did after you were
9
       told it was going to be shut in, or did you finish the repair
10
       work?
11
                THE WITNESS: I'd have to look at each invoice and
12
       then go by day-by-day.
13
                THE COURT: And can you tell from the invoices what
14
       happened?
15
                THE WITNESS: Pardon?
16
                THE COURT: Let me ask you to turn to -- can we give
17
       him a book?
18
           (Participants confer.)
19
                THE COURT: Go to EE.
20
           (Witness reviews exhibits.)
21
                THE COURT: Where was the EE work performed, on what
22
       facility?
23
                THE WITNESS: What invoice are you looking at?
24
                THE COURT: The one EE.
25
                THE WITNESS: Well, that's just the front page, but
```

```
1
       each -- behind it -- okay. You've got a ticket behind it, a
2
       DSR.
3
                THE COURT: What facility was that at; was that at
4
       Innovator?
5
                THE WITNESS: That's for -- if I'm looking at the
       right one, EE -- is that Invoice No. 82093T-5?
6
7
                MR. DELAUNAY: Yes.
8
                THE WITNESS: That work was being done on West Cameron
9
       432.
10
                THE COURT: All right. Would you find one that was
11
       done on the Innovator?
12
                MR. KELLEY: Your Honor, if I may suggest W might be
13
       an example.
14
                THE COURT: All right.
15
                MR. KELLEY: W.
16
                THE WITNESS: Okay.
17
                MR. KELLEY: I believe it's MC71.
18
                THE COURT: All right.
19
                MR. DELAUNAY: All right.
20
           (Witness reviews exhibits.)
21
                THE WITNESS: Okay. Invoice W?
22
                THE COURT: I -- yes.
23
                THE WITNESS: Okay. What DSR do you want me to look
24
       at?
25
                THE COURT: Well, just look generally through that and
                          JUDICIAL TRANSCRIBERS OF TEXAS, LLC
```

1 tell me whether that is totally safe-out work, or whether it is 2 both safe-out and continued repair work. 3 (Witness reviews exhibit.) 4 THE WITNESS: Basically, we are, basically, working on 5 the ninety-three-foot level, removing scaffolding, so basically 6 we're safing-out, we're moving out. 7 (Pause in proceedings.) 8 THE COURT: So all of the invoices are safe-out 9 invoices? 10 THE WITNESS: From -- from what I'm seeing. I mean, I 11 backed up to another invoice, another DSR, which is the "Daily 12 Service Report." And we put it on forty-foot level cut out and 13 started welding -- welding it, grinding the ninety-three-foot 14 level cut, cut out and safe-out area, picked up -- we were 15 basically working our way out of the hole. 16 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, sir. 17 BY MR. DELAUNAY: 18 Mr. Sandoz --19 MR. KELLEY: Just for the Record, he just said he 20 looked at something, but didn't make it clear which document he 21 was looking at. Can you --22 THE WITNESS: That's Invoice Number 052013HR. 23 MR. KELLEY: Is that W, still? 24 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

JUDICIAL TRANSCRIBERS OF TEXAS, LLC

MR. KELLEY: Okay.

```
1
                THE COURT: I think it's ATP-00282.
2
       BY MR. DELAUNAY:
3
           All right. Mr. Sandoz, once Omega was told that the
4
       Innovator was being shut in, but that they were asked to stay
5
       around for what you call the "safe-out work," under whose
6
       instructions were they working -- were you working?
7
           We were under instruction of the inspection company who was
8
       working for ATP.
9
           Okay. And were you told by the inspection company the
10
       reason you needed to stay around was to place the Innovator in
11
       a condition, so that it was safe to leave?
12
           Yes, sir.
       Α
13
           And did you only do things that the inspection company,
14
       ATP's representative, told you were necessary to put the
15
       Innovator in a safe condition, so you could leave?
16
           Yes, sir. My understanding.
17
           I'd like to call your attention to Exhibits T and U.
       0
18
           I'm sorry. Say that again, sir.
19
       0
          Exhibit T and U.
20
       Α
          (Witness reviews exhibits.)
21
           I'm on T.
22
           Okay. There's been a suggestion those are the invoices
23
       relating to the heliport skirting repairs, ST 77, Number 6,
24
       that Mr. Pierson testified to earlier.
25
         Yes, sir.
       Α
```

```
1
           Do you recall that project?
2
           Yes, sir.
       Α
3
           And did you have discussions with anyone with ATP regarding
4
       that project before it was done?
5
           Yes, sir. I remember my project manager talking to the man
       offshore about needing to get the --
6
7
                MR. KELLEY: Your Honor, I'm going to --
8
                MR. DELAUNAY: Your discussions.
9
                MR. KELLEY: I'm going to object. He started talking
10
       about a conversation someone else was having with someone at
11
       ATP, that would be hearsay.
12
                THE COURT: Sustained.
13
       BY MR. DELAUNAY:
14
           Were you present for a conversation?
15
          Yes, sir.
       Α
           Okay. During a conversation when you were present, okay,
16
17
       did you --
18
                MR. KELLEY: Same objection.
19
       BY MR. DELAUNAY:
20
           What did -- the ATP representative -- who was the ATP
21
       representative?
22
           I don't --
       Α
23
          Do you remember?
24
       Α
          I'm not sure.
25
          Okay. Was he identified as -- by position as a ATP guy?
       Q
```

```
1
           One of the production foremen of -- on the facility.
2
           Okay. And did he say why this was the --
       Q
3
                MR. KELLEY: Your Honor, again, we're getting into
       hearsay. We don't know the identity of the witness and --
4
5
       other than he was from the facility, which could be a GreyStar
6
       employee, since there's only one ATP person on the facility.
7
       We're getting into hearsay.
8
                MR. DELAUNAY: And if he's a representative, I think
9
       that --
10
                THE COURT: I think that's right.
11
                MR. DELAUNAY: -- there's an exception.
12
                THE COURT: I just want to go read the rule.
13
                MR. DELAUNAY: Sure, Judge. I'm sorry.
14
           (Pause in proceedings.)
15
                THE COURT: I'm going to overrule the hearsay
16
       objection. The individual was identified as an ATP production
17
       foreman. It doesn't matter whether the production foreman is a
18
       direct employee or was an agent acting within the scope of his
19
       duty. If he was the production foreman, he can give these
       kinds of instructions. And under 801(d)(2)(D), I find it's not
20
21
       hearsay.
22
                MR. KELLEY: I may have heard different testimony, and
23
       I may ask you to play it back, because he said a production
24
       foreman or someone on the facility.
```

THE COURT: First of all, I don't mind just getting

JUDICIAL TRANSCRIBERS OF TEXAS, LLC

```
1
       that clarified.
2
                MR. DELAUNAY: Well, I can do that.
3
                THE COURT: Let's see what he said.
4
                MR. DELAUNAY: Sure.
5
                THE COURT: If you want, I'll play it back --
6
                MR. KELLEY: No, that --
7
                THE COURT: -- but it may be easier just to clarify.
8
       BY MR. DELAUNAY:
9
           Do you recall who the person was that was speaking?
10
           No, I do not.
11
           Do you recall the position of the person?
12
       Α
           Yes, sir, I do.
13
           What was -- what was his position?
14
           He said he was the production foreman.
15
           Okay. And when the person who represented himself to be
16
       the production foreman asked for this work, did they say why
17
       they wanted this work?
18
           The reason for the work to be performed was for safety
19
       issues that were being -- the heliport was shut in, and they
20
       needed to put a deck back on to get it back working.
21
           Is that --
22
                MR. KELLEY: Your Honor, I'm going to object to the
23
       lack of responsiveness, yes, what the person said --
24
                MR. DELAUNAY: And I'll clear that up.
25
                THE COURT: I sustain that objection.
```

```
1
       BY MR. DELAUNAY:
2
           Was that -- is that your understanding of the reason, or is
3
       that what you were told by the ATP representative?
4
           That's what the ATP rep said.
5
           Okay. Mr. Sandoz, there are a series of invoices near the
6
       end dealing with West Cameron. If you would look at the sheet
7
       that's been marked -- well, let me see. Let me get my --
8
           If you'd look at Exhibit CC, please?
9
           Double T?
       Α
10
           С.
       \circ
11
       Α
           С.
12
           I'm sorry. Double C.
       Q
13
       Α
          (Witness reviews exhibits.)
14
           It's for $192. Do you see that?
15
       Α
           Yes, sir.
16
           Okay. And there are a series of exhibits starting there
17
       which the next -- the four exhibits -- three exhibits in a row
       for $192. That seems like -- well, I don't know if you
18
19
       answered yet. Do you recall what that work was for on those
20
       platforms?
21
           The gentlemen that went offshore and looked at all the
22
       different facilities at each --
23
           Okay. Were you told --
24
       Α
           And --
25
           Okay. The request from ATP was to do what?
       Q
```

```
1
           Well, we were called to go out and look at a series of
2
       different write-ups or issues they have on the platform to be
3
       fixed, necessarily needed to be fixed. We went out and we
4
       looked at it. He came in, drew them up, and we charged time
5
       for each one, accordingly, in the -- per platform.
           There exists -- exactly -- Exhibit CC refers to "Handrail
6
7
       and grading repairs at West Cam 4729."
8
           Yes, sir.
       Α
9
           Are you telling us that he was being asked to provide a --
10
       sort of a survey of the work that was necessary to prepare
11
       handrails or grading?
12
           Yes, sir.
       Α
13
           And would that be similar to what occurred with respect to
14
       all of these -- I call them "three-digit invoices" -- CC
15
       through GG; the first three for $192, and the next two for
16
       $312?
17
           Yes, sir. Yes, sir.
       Α
18
           Okay. Mr. Sandoz, that's all the questions I have.
19
                MR. DELAUNAY: I tender the witness.
20
                THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Kelley.
21
                MR. KELLEY: Your Honor, let me.
22
                     CROSS-EXAMINATION OF GREGORY SANDOZ
23
       BY MR. KELLEY:
24
           I apologize. I did not write your last name down
25
       correctly.
```

```
1 A Sandoz.
```

- Q Sandoz.
- 3 A Yeah. S-A-N-D-O-Z.
- 4 Q O-Z. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Sandoz.
- Mr. Sandoz, you were talking about a conversation you had associated with the South Timbalier 77 property, that you were present during?
- 8 A Yes, sir.
- 9 Q Where did that conversation occur?
- 10 A In my project manager's office.
- 11 Q And where is that?
- 12 A In the Port of Liberia.
- 13 Q And you were present during that conversation?
- 14 A Yes, sir.
- 15 | Q When was that?
- 16 A Time-wise, I couldn't tell you the date when he called in,
- but I specifically was in there when he -- when he did call
- 18 Q So he wasn't present. This was over a phone call?
- 19 A That is correct.
- 20 Did you -- did you recognize the voice, was it someone you
- 21 had spoken with in the past?
- 22 A No, sir.
- 23 Q Were you aware that South Timbalier is an unmanned
- 24 facility?
- 25 A No, sir.

```
1
           Did you know which -- whether this person you were speaking
2
       with was a contractor, a contract employee, or whom it was,
3
       related to ATP?
4
           Other than the fact that he told us he worked for ATP and
5
       he was the field foreman out there.
6
       0
           The field foreman?
7
           Yeah, production foreman.
8
           Well, let's -- what do you mean -- what does "production
       0
9
       foreman" mean to you?
           He is in charge of that facility and that field.
10
11
           So this was not someone onsite, that you're aware of?
           I couldn't tell one way or the other.
12
       Α
13
       0
           I'd like to go back and talk to you briefly about -- sorry.
14
           I'd like to go back and talk to you briefly about Exhibit W
15
       again.
16
           (Witness reviews exhibits.)
17
           Okay.
18
           How many days worth of work does, say, Exhibit W cover?
19
       Α
           (Witness reviews exhibit.)
20
           Are you specifically speaking offshore, on-shore? What are
21
       you specifically asking?
22
           I don't -- Exhibit W is an Omega document, correct?
23
           Correct.
24
           (Witness reviews exhibit.)
25
                THE COURT: Mr. Kelley, I want you to clarify the
```

```
1
       question, as to whether you mean elapsed days or days onsite.
2
                MR. KELLEY: Understood.
3
       BY MR. KELLEY:
4
           Exhibit W is a document prepared by Omega, correct?
5
           It's an invoice, if that's what you're asking, yes.
          And it's prepared by Omega?
6
       Q
7
          Yes, sir.
       Α
8
           The invoice bills for work performed during what periods of
9
       time?
10
           I'll have to look back on the contact. We -- we were
11
       expecting to end our -- it's as quickly as possible, to get
12
       invoices out, to get paid in. And none of them have a specific
13
       date, how many days we have on it.
14
           As I read the first page of Exhibit W, it identified --
15
       Α
           Hold up.
16
           (Witness reviews exhibit.)
17
           Okay.
18
           As I read the first page of Exhibit W, it identifies work
19
       from May 17th through June 4th. June 4th.
20
       Α
           Okay.
21
           Is that correct?
       0
22
           (Witness reviews exhibit.)
       Α
23
           That is correct.
24
           About seventeen days worth of work?
       Q
25
       Α
           Yes, sir.
```

```
You were -- you were looking at the fifth page -- the fifth
1
2
       page at the -- at the bottom, it says "ATP-0282"?
3
           (Witness reviews exhibit.)
4
           Yes, sir.
5
           What day is that for?
6
       Α
           May 20th.
7
       Q
           It identifies a portion of the work involves welding a
8
       plate back in, correct?
9
           That is correct.
10
           It involves the grinding and the welding of that work?
11
       Α
           Yes, sir.
12
           What other work does it include?
       0
13
           Basically, to cut and fit a forty level plate that was cut
14
       out and started welding on it. We grind it on a ninety-three-
15
       foot level, cut out, and safe for that area. They picked up
16
       loose material from the twenty-foot level and cleaned out all
17
       the work area at the end of the day.
18
           What does a "ringer" do?
19
           He does grind -- he does grinding, cuts right up to that
20
       plate, watch simultaneously, a lot of different tasks.
21
           What does "continue welding on forty-foot level" mean?
22
           (Pause in proceedings.)
23
           Basically, it said they prepped and fitted the forty-foot
```

level cut out, which is where we cut -- cut out to get material

to go down and do the work below that, at the ninety-three-foot

24

```
1 | level and so on and so on, on down.
```

- Q If you were doing work on that forty-foot level, how would you describe it, other than replacing the cutout?
- 4 A I'm not -- I'm not understanding what you're trying to say.
- 5 Q You're cutting out through shells in the hull to get to the next levels, correct?
- 7 A We're coming out of the hull.
- 8 Q But to get into the hull, you cut holes to go into those levels.
- 10 A Yes, sir.
- 11 Q And when you're working in those levels, how do you describe the work in those levels?
- A I still don't -- I still don't follow exactly what you're asking.
- 15 Q I'm trying to understand how Omega invoices work. If you sent a crew into the forty-foot level to do just the repairs they were asked to do, how would that work be described?
- A This was -- this was being done offshore by the supervisor that's working -- who is assigned by the inspector, who is observing all the work being done.
- 21 | O And --
- 22 A Which is not something we do in-house, in our office.
- 23 | Q So --
- A These tickets are signed offshore by the company rep for ATP.

```
1
           My question is not very clear. I apologize.
2
       Α
           Okay.
3
           The hull on which work was being performed is broken into
4
       sections, correct?
5
           To my understanding, correct.
6
           Did you go into the hull?
7
           No, sir, I haven't.
       Α
8
           So if a crew had to go into a specific area of the hull to
9
       do work, it would describe the section on which they did their
10
       work, correct?
11
           From what it says here, they were working on the forty-foot
12
       level and working on the ninety-three-foot level.
13
       0
           That's --
14
                THE COURT: Let me try and help with your question.
15
       Exhibit H was work done before they were doing the safe-out.
16
       And so it does have the invoices that you're trying to find
17
       out, sort of hypothetically, what they would look like.
18
                MR. KELLEY: I think W does, too, Your Honor.
19
                THE COURT: Well, we don't know if W does. H
20
       obviously does.
21
                MR. KELLEY: Yes.
22
                THE COURT: Since you're having confusing about your
23
       question --
24
                MR. KELLEY: I see what you're saying.
25
                THE COURT: -- it may help to look at one that -- I
```

understand where you're going, but I think your question was more general, how would you generally describe it. And here, you have an example in H. Take a look at 00088, for example, under H, or 90.

(Pause in proceedings.)

MR. KELLEY: Let me approach it this way.

BY MR. KELLEY:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- Q Do you recall the point in time when Omega began -- let me use the right term. Do you recall when Omega stopped doing the general repair work?
- 11 A No, sir, I do not.
- 12 Q Do you know when Omega began backing out of the work?
- 13 A I don't recall, no, sir.
- Q So we don't know a specific point in time where the safeout work starts?
- 16 A The ticket should show everything on it.
- Q Okay. Have you, before you got here today, gone through the invoices to summarize which ones were safe-out and which ones were not?
- 20 A No, sir.
- 21 Q And when you went through safe-out, or going through that
 22 process, have you gone through the tickets to figure out which
 23 ones were actual work, working on safe-out, versus which is
 24 just billing for downtime because they had to stop work?
- 25 A I just answered. Just -- you asked the same question

```
1
       again.
2
           It's slightly different.
3
       Α
           Okay.
4
           But is the answer --
       0
5
           It's the same --
6
       0
          -- still no?
7
           Same answer.
       Α
8
           Who is the person at Omega who is responsible for tracking
9
       which work has been paid for and which work has not been paid
10
       for?
11
           We have a -- an accounting department. There's a series of
       girls that work in the department.
12
13
           That's not your responsibility?
14
       Α
           No, sir.
15
                MR. KELLEY: No more questions, Your Honor.
16
                THE COURT: Thank you. Anything further?
17
                MR. DELAUNAY:
                               Short, briefly.
18
                    REDIRECT EXAMINATION OF GREGORY SANDOZ
19
       BY MR. DELAUNAY:
20
           Mr. Sandoz, you told us earlier that up until the shut-in -
21
       - or rather, at the time that you were advised, Omega was
22
       advised, that the Innovator would be shut in, you were asked
23
       for Omega to keep their crew up there to do what we'll call the
       "safe-out work." Is that correct?
24
25
       A Yes, sir.
```

```
1
           Did Omega decide what was the particular tasks necessary
       for safe-out?
3
           No, sir.
4
           Did ATP have a person there directing Omega as to what was
5
       necessary for the safe-out?
6
                MR. KELLEY: Your Honor, lack of foundation.
                                                               The
7
       witness hasn't been out to the job site, so we need to --
8
                MR. DELAUNAY: Well --
9
                MR. KELLEY: -- lay that foundation.
10
                MR. DELAUNAY: Okay.
11
                THE COURT: Sustained.
12
       BY MR. DELAUNAY:
13
           Subsequent to the shut-in, when your people were asked to
14
       stay there, who directed Omega's work?
15
           The consultant group that's out there.
16
                MR. DELAUNAY: Okay. Thank you. That's all I have.
17
                    RECROSS-EXAMINATION OF GREGORY SANDOZ
18
       BY MR. KELLEY:
19
           Counsel for Omega just asked you a question about when you
20
       were asked to begin safe-out. Do you know who asked Omega to
21
       begin the safe-out exercise?
22
           No, sir.
23
           Do you know when that conversation might have taken place?
24
           Not really. I don't -- do not recall.
       Α
25
           So if the Government told ATP at some point in time to shut
```

```
1
       in the project, you don't know when ATP talked to Omega, do
2
       you?
3
       Α
           I do not.
4
           So it was just sometime after that, as far as you knew.
5
       Α
           Yes, sir.
6
                MR. KELLEY: No more questions.
7
                THE COURT: Anything further, Mr. deLaunay?
8
                MR. DELAUNAY: Nothing further, Judge.
9
                THE COURT: Thank you, sir. You can step down.
10
                THE WITNESS: Thank you.
11
           (Witness excused.)
12
                THE COURT: All right. What we're going to do is, I
13
       want to take a recess in this Omega hearing for a few minutes,
14
       and ask the parties to have the meeting they had discussed.
15
                Mr. Kelley, I'm hoping you have someone who can do
16
       that, as your designee, to figure out which invoices have been
17
       paid and which ones haven't been paid, which I think you all
18
       said you all could probably together --
19
                MR. DELAUNAY: I think we can do that, Your Honor.
20
                THE COURT: -- and resolve that. And then, during
21
       that recess, I'm going to call my other case, and then we'll
22
       come back and we'll finish Omega.
23
           (Recess taken from 4:31 p.m. to 5:14 p.m.)
24
                THE COURT: Were the parties able to reach an
25
       agreement as to the invoices?
```

1 MR. KELLEY: We were, Judge, largely due to the work 2 of my colleagues. I'm just kidding. Seriously, he was 3 involved with looking at the --4 MR. DELAUNAY: The -- just for the -- the amount, 5 Judge, is \$649,026.47. 6 THE COURT: Six four nine? 7 (Participants confer.) 8 THE COURT: I'm sorry. Six four nine? 9 (Participants confer.) 10 MR. DELAUNAY: It would be oh two six point four 11 seven, Your Honor. And for the Court's -- just by way of 12 explanation, if the Court looks at what we have marked as 13 Exhibit MM --14 THE COURT: For demonstrative purposes --15 MR. DELAUNAY: All the way on the right-hand column, 16 on Page 0462, which is the first page of Exhibit M, the last 17 two entries, the 7631.29 and the 10,245 have been paid. And on 18 the second page of Exhibit M, the second-to-last entry for 19 85,925.33 has been paid. 20 THE COURT: And do you mind me using Exhibit M for the 21 purpose of identifying of identifying which invoices are paid 22 and which ones are not paid? 23 MR. KELLEY: We know that that's the correct amount, 24 Your Honor. I believe -- if that's the correct invoices, it 25 is. I just don't know that we -- we have anyone here who can

```
1
       tell us that, we don't have anyone from our accounting group.
       We know what checks have been issued --
3
                THE COURT: And they exactly match those amounts?
4
                MR. KELLEY: Yes.
5
                THE COURT: Okay.
                MR. KELLEY: I mean, I think the answer is yes,
6
7
       but I --
8
                THE COURT: Fair enough. All right. And did you have
9
       any more witnesses?
10
                MR. DELAUNAY: Judge, I have one more, I think we can
11
       do it shortly. Mr. Gary Buchanan.
12
                THE COURT: Mr. Buchanan. Mr. Buchanan, sorry to keep
13
       you waiting. Would you raise your hand, please, sir?
14
                   GARY BUCHANAN, WITNESS FOR OMEGA, SWORN.
15
                THE COURT: Thank you. Have a seat, please.
16
           (Pause in proceedings.)
17
                     DIRECT EXAMINATION OF GARY BUCHANAN
       BY MR. DELAUNAY:
18
19
           Mr. Buchanan, would you state your full name for the
20
       Record, please.
21
           Gary Buchanan.
22
           And what is your current employment?
23
           I'm the President of Omega Natchig.
24
          And how long have you served in that position?
       Q.
25
       Α
          It's eight years.
```

- 1 Q Okay. You're familiar with the invoices which -- for which
 2 payment is being claimed?
- 3 A Yes, sir.
- Q And the invoices in the exhibit book, other than those that we have stipulated are paid, do they remain unpaid at this
- 6 time?
- 7 A Yes, sir.
- 8 Q Would you -- I just want to briefly establish how these 9 invoices come to be.
- 10 A Okay.
- 11 Q First of all, there is a -- on each of the invoices, you
- have a purchase order or an AFE number?
- 13 A Yes, sir.
- 14 Q Where does that number come from?
- 15 A It comes from ATP.
- Q Okay. So when you're called for work, you request an AFE or a purchase order number?
- 18 A Yes. They -- actually, they gave us one.
- 19 Q Okay. And now in terms of invoices, there is something
- called -- what's you -- if we could look at Exhibit W again,
- 21 we've used that as an example --
- 22 A Yes, sir.
- 23 Q -- in the past, and we'll use that once again.
- 24 The second page of Exhibit W appears to be a service report or something to that effect. Would you tell us what that is?

```
1 A Yes. That looks like -- it looks like we got some supplies
2 in from one of our vendors, and then we had that loaded out and
3 sent to ATP.
```

Q Okay. And so if we look at that sheet, and then -- it does not match the form of the Daily Service Reports that have names of people and the number of hours by each person. Do you recall that?

- A No, sir, that --
- Q Do you see that?

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

23

24

- 10 A The one you're looking at, on Page 2, that's an on-shore -11 that's done at an on-shore facility in New Iberia, and the
 12 DSRs, or the Daily Service Reports, are done offshore.
 - Q So just so that we can be clear, and so that the Court understands, some of the work that was done was prepared in part at your -- at your offices in New Iberia, then it was shipped out to the Innovator?
- 17 A Yes, sir.
 - Q Okay. And the same would be true for materials that were needed on the Innovator; they might have been loaded out -- or acquired and loaded out at your offices and then sent out to the Innovator?
- 22 A Yes, sir.
 - Q And if we go further down to -- I guess look at the Daily Service Report for May 20, 2013, or refer to -- that's the first typed Daily Service Report. Do you see that?

A Yes, sir.

- 2 Q Okay. First of all, where are these Daily Service Reports,
- 3 which show the number of hours, describe the work done, and
- 4 name the parties that were working; where are they prepared?
- 5 A They're prepared offshore. The offshore superintendent
- 6 that works for Omega prepares the Daily Service Report. He has
- 7 that report signed by the offshore representative for the oil
- 8 company that we're working for -- and then that is sent in to
- 9 our office in New Iberia.
- 10 Q So for instance, on the one you're looking at, where it
- 11 says "customer representative," that would be the signature of
- 12 ATP's representative on the Innovator?
- 13 A Yes, sir.
- 14 Q Okay. Now the on-shore work, the previous one, if we go
- 15 back, it also has a customer representative signature on it?
- 16 A Yes, sir.
- 17 Q And is there a customer representative at your yard, where
- 18 is he at?
- 19 A Probably not. We fax that out or send that out to the
- 20 Innovator or to whoever we're working for, and then that is
- 21 signed by them and sent back in to us.
- 22 Q Okay. And once these DSRs come in, what else goes in to --
- 23 how do you go about preparing an invoice?
- 24 A All of the DSRs, or Daily Service Reports, are sent in to
- our payroll department, that's put into the payroll. Payroll

- then takes those DSRs, those are sent up to accounting, and accounting processes the invoices.
- Q Okay. And what about third-party charges; are those included on your invoices?
- 5 A Yes, sir.
- Q Okay. And then those invoices -- the invoices that are at issue today are -- they have a billing address, so Mr. Michael Pierson, ATP Oil & Gas, 4600 Post Oak Place, do you see that,
- 9 in Houston?
- 10 A Yes, sir.
- Q Okay. And is that the same place you sent your invoices prior to the bankruptcy?
- 13 A I believe so.
- Q Okay. Now after these invoices were sent, did you ever receive any notice from ATP; a phone call or email, a letter, a note, advising that they disputed any portion of the invoices?
- 17 A No, sir.
- Q Did you ever receive any notice from ATP that any of the work on the -- shown on the invoices and in -- and the attachments is not properly authorized, did not have a proper AFE or purchase order?
- 22 A No, sir.
- Q Okay. After these invoices became over 30 days old, did
 you make inquiries with ATP?
- 25 A Our accounting department --

```
1
       Q
           Okay.
2
           -- inquires to ATP, as to what's going on, you know, are
3
       they in process, or what's happening. I'm notified that they
4
       have -- that we have a late payment, that ATP is behind. I
5
       notified Greg. I have asked him on numerous occasions to, you
6
       know, get with ATP and find out, you know, what's going on
7
       there and --
8
           Did Omega receive any emails from ATP saying what was
9
       happening with the invoices?
10
           I believe that Greg had some emails that were sent to him,
11
       saying that certain invoices were --
12
                MR. KELLEY: Object, Your Honor.
13
                MR. DELAUNAY If you know?
14
                MR. KELLEY: Objection; hearsay, Your Honor.
15
                MR. DELAUNAY:
                               Sure.
16
                THE COURT: Sustained.
17
                MR. DELAUNAY: I'll withdraw it, Judge.
18
                THE WITNESS: Okay.
19
       BY MR. DELAUNAY:
20
           Okay. But you received no objections, no complaints, no
21
       disputes with respect to any of the invoices. Is that correct?
22
           No, sir.
23
                MR. DELAUNAY: That's all I have, Judge.
24
                THE COURT: Thank you.
25
                      CROSS-EXAMINATION OF GARY BUCHANAN
```

```
1
       BY MR. KELLEY:
2
           Good evening, Mr. Buchanan.
3
          Good evening, sir.
4
          How are you?
       0
5
       Α
           Fine.
           I believe, if I heard correctly, your counsel was asking
6
7
       you some questions about -- we've been using it by way of
8
       example -- Exhibit -- Invoice W?
9
           Yes, sir.
10
           And I believe you identified what you called "on-shore
11
       work."
12
       Α
           Yes, sir.
13
           That's the first couple of invoices?
           Yes, sir.
14
       Α
15
           The first couple of pages behind the first page?
       Q
16
           Yes, sir.
       Α
17
           Omega does fabrication work, doesn't it?
       0
18
           Yes, sir.
       Α
19
           And that fabrication work is done in its shop?
20
       Α
           Yes, sir.
21
           And that's what these types of pages represent, the
22
       first -- one, two, three, four -- five pages?
23
           Not necessarily. The first one is we had received some
24
       supplies from some vendors, placed it in a game box, and then
```

loaded the game box for delivery, and that was picked up by a

```
1 truck.
```

- Q What kind of supplies do you know were being delivered in
- 3 that time frame?
- 4 A I wouldn't -- I don't know.
- 5 Q You were here in the courtroom when -- you heard the
- 6 testimony from Mr. Sandoz about that, at some point, there was
- 7 a process that the Omega team was doing called "safe-out" --
- 8 A Yes, sir.
- 9 Q -- or basically backing out of their work --
- 10 A Yes, sir.
- 11 Q -- and closing up the hull behind them?
- 12 A Yes, sir.
- 13 Q What supplies were you sending out for that exercise?
- 14 A I don't know.
- 15 Q It's my understanding that, essentially, they're grinding,
- replacing the plate, and welding it back in place, and bolting
- back certain connections. You heard that testimony?
- 18 A Yes, sir.
- 19 Q Do you disagree with that?
- 20 A No, sir.
- 21 Q So are you aware of any supplies they need from shore that
- 22 would have accounted for these five pages and all this work,
- 23 that would have dealt with safe-out?
- 24 A If they're grinding, they might have needed some grinding
- disks. I wouldn't know; I wasn't out there.

```
Q For all these hours of shipping out grinding disks?
```

- A Could have -- well, I don't know. I wasn't there.
- 3 | Q And you --

- 4 A I don't --
- 5 Q I think you understand why I'm asking --
- 6 A Oh, yes, sir.
- 7 Q -- that question.
- 8 A Yes, sir.
- 9 Q It appears to me that this invoice, if it includes safe-out work, also includes some other work.
- 11 A It possibly could.
- 12 Q Have you had -- asked anyone at Omega to go through the
 13 invoices and break out which portion may be just safe-out work?
- 14 A No, sir.
- Q As you sit here now and look at the invoices, are you able to do that?
- 17 A No, sir.
- 18 Q If you -- have you looked at these invoices and tried to
 19 identify which would we did which would be beneficial to a
 20 Bankruptcy Estate? And I understand that that's a concept,
 21 associated with bankruptcy. But have you -- have you looked at
 22 it and said, this benefits this Estate, or asked someone from
 23 your team to go through the invoices and try to group those
 24 types of expenses?
- 25 A No, sir.

```
Could you take a look at Tab I, please?
1
2
       Α
           (Witness reviews exhibits.)
3
           Okay.
4
           Could you look at the third page of Tab I? Strike that.
       0
5
           The fifth page, I guess. Let me count these out.
6
       Α
           Would that be --
7
           It's numbered at the very bottom in the center as
8
       ATP-00108.
9
           (Witness reviews exhibit.)
10
           Okay.
11
           Could you explain what the job description is for this --
12
       for this page, and what it reflects?
13
           They drove from New Iberia or our shop in New Iberia to
14
       Port Fourchon, waited for weather to clear, drove back to shop.
15
           How does ATP benefit from those types of hours or services?
16
           We were asked to bring people there, and we did.
17
       didn't go out with the weather. I can't control the weather.
18
           So for these specific hours, ATP doesn't benefit, does it?
19
                MR. DELAUNAY: I'm going to object, to the extent it
20
       calls for a legal conclusion, Your Honor.
21
                THE COURT: Sustained.
22
       BY MR. KELLEY:
23
           Can -- are you aware of any benefit that ATP enjoys from
24
       having these folks just do that work, that drive out there and
25
       back?
```

```
A I don't guess I understand your question.
```

- Q Are you aware of any safety or work that is completed, or any actual improvement to the -- to the assets of the Estate; to the Innovator, to any operation that is created by having these individuals do this type of exercise, drive out there --
- A That was not an exercise.
- Q Fair enough. By -- they spent some time doing this. Is it eighteen hours? Am I reading that correctly?
- 9 A That's what it says.
- Q So each -- these two individuals each had eighteen hours driving, making that drive, waiting, and then driving back
- 12 home?

2

3

4

5

- 13 A That's what the -- that's what the DSR says.
- 14 Q They didn't do any work on the ATP platform?
- 15 A I don't know.
- 16 Q Is there any indication on here that they did any work on
- the -- on or for the platform --
- 18 A No, sir.
- 19 Q -- during those eighteen hours?
- 20 A No, sir.
- Q What I'm getting at is: Has anyone gone through and pulled out from the invoices these types of hours that didn't actually
- result in any physical work being done on the platform?
- 24 | A No, sir.
- Q Has anyone gone through and pulled out for downtime or work

```
1
       that didn't actually change or improve or do anything to the
2
       asset?
3
           No, sir.
       Α
4
           So all of that is still embedded in all of these invoices?
5
       Α
           Yes, sir.
6
           Would you take a look, please, at Invoice R?
7
          (Witness reviews exhibits.)
       Α
8
           Yes, sir.
9
           Again, this is just by way of example. But could you take
       a -- I think it's the 11th page of Invoice R, it's ATP-00200.
10
11
           (Witness reviews exhibit.)
12
           Okay.
13
           Have you -- do you have -- do you see that this is an
14
       invoice that covers for just downtime, where they're not doing
15
       any work?
16
           It says "Downtime due to weather, but job on intake is
17
       complete."
18
           So they're billing for their time, where it says
19
       "downtime," because of the situation with the weather.
20
       Α
           Yes. They're offshore. It appears that they're offshore.
21
           And I take it, because of the weather, they weren't doing
22
       any work on the platform at that point in time?
23
           I wouldn't have any idea.
24
           I can go through a number of these examples, but it --
```

you're aware that it appears in each of these invoices. If

```
there's downtime, someone's time is recorded; if they're
driving or not actually working on the project, that time is
included in these invoices, correct?
```

- A It -- yes, sir, it should be.
- 5 Q And it's in -- it's throughout these invoices.
- 6 A Okay. Yes.
- 7 Q I mean, is that --
- 8 A Yes.

- 9 Q -- your understanding?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q And --
- 12 A I mean, they're -- they're offshore, and they -- they get
- paid twelve -- at least twelve hours a day, the time that
- 14 they're offshore.
- 15 Q Because that's your agreement with your employees, to pay
 16 them those twelve hours?
- 17 A No, sir. That's the agreement that ATP and Omega has.
- 18 Q So you can charge ATP for those twelve hours?
- 19 A Yes, sir.
- 20 Q So basically, when these employees are offshore, no matter
- 21 what they're doing, they're billing ATP for twelve hours of
- 22 their time every day.
- 23 A Yes, sir.
- 24 Q I apologize. I may have asked you this question.
- 25 A That's okay.

```
1
          I don't intend to ask you it twice. Did I ask you, have
2
      you done any allocation between the work that was just safe-
3
      out, versus the rest of the work that was being done on the
4
      platform?
```

- 5 No, sir, I have not.
- Has Omega done that at all? 6 0
- 7 Α No, sir.
- 8 What is your title at Omega?
- 9 Α I'm the President.
- 10 So if someone would have done that, they would have done 11 that at your direction?
- 12 Α Yes, sir.
- 13 And as of today's date, you haven't asked anyone to do 14 that.
- 15 Α No, sir.

know.

- 16 How would we go about determining -- if it's concluded that 17 just platform and the situation with the platform on extracting 18 the crew and returning those pieces of metal, which was 19 necessary for safety, is an expense that ATP should pay, how 20 would we go about computing that expense from these invoices? 21 To be honest with you, I -- I don't know. I really don't 22
- 23 There's not really enough information in these invoices to 24 compute that, is there?
- 25 Not that I've seen. Α

```
1
           I haven't either. I'm not trying to argue.
       Q
2
           (Pause in proceedings.)
3
           Were you directly involved in communicating with ATP
4
       associated with this -- with any of these tasks or jobs?
5
           No, sir.
           Do you know when ATP communicated to Omega that they needed
6
7
       to leave the platform or that the work had to stop?
8
           No, sir.
       Α
9
           So you don't know, specifically, when the safe-out work
10
       would have commenced, either?
11
           No, sir.
       Α
12
       0
           No, sir, you do not know?
13
       Α
          I do not know.
14
           I'm sorry. I -- my problem with the question.
15
           (Laughter.)
16
                MR. KELLEY: No further questions, Your Honor.
17
                THE COURT: Thank you. Anything further?
18
                MR. DELAUNAY: Yeah, just a couple.
19
                     REDIRECT EXAMINATION OF GARY BUCHANAN
20
       BY MR. DELAUNAY:
21
           Mr. Buchanan.
22
       Α
          Yes, sir.
23
           You were here during Mr. Sandoz's testimony?
24
       Α
          Yes, sir.
25
           Do you recall his testimony that, after the well was shut
       Q
```

```
1
       in, Omega was asked to leave its crew on there to do the work
2
       on the -- the safe-out work?
3
           Yes, sir.
4
           Okay. And that they worked under the instructions of the
5
       ATP representative?
6
       Α
           Yes, sir.
7
           Okay. If someone wanted -- if there was someone that could
8
       tell us or contended that there was work that was done that was
9
       not safe-out, would ATP's representatives be the one to do it?
10
                MR. KELLEY: That calls for speculation from this
11
       witness, Your Honor. I don't know --
12
                THE COURT: Sustained.
13
       BY MR. DELAUNAY:
14
           Okay. Was Omega doing the work that ATP's representative
15
       told them was necessary to the safe-out?
16
                MR. KELLEY: Again, speculation. This witness doesn't
17
       have personal information whatsoever, Judge.
18
                THE COURT: Sustained.
19
       BY MR. DELAUNAY:
20
           At any time since the issuance of invoices, or at any time
21
       since the filing of this, has ATP ever said, "Well, we don't
22
       want to pay for a portion of the invoice because it did not
23
       give us any benefit"?
24
       A No, sir.
25
                MR. DELAUNAY: Okay. That's all I have. Thank you,
```

```
1
       Judge.
2
                THE COURT: Thank you. Anything further?
3
           (No verbal response.)
4
                            Thank you, sir. You can step down.
                THE COURT:
5
                THE WITNESS: Thank you.
6
           (Witness excused.)
7
                THE COURT: Any further evidence by Omega?
8
                MR. DELAUNAY: Judge, I had submitted an affidavit
9
       with the exhibits. The contract calls for payment of
10
       attorneys' fees in the event it's necessary to employ the
11
       services of an attorney to collect post-petition -- this is the
12
       post-petition contract to collect any invoices. I had done an
13
       affidavit, which is included as -- I think it's L -- LL. I
14
       would ask the Court for permission to update the affidavit.
15
       There's been two depositions since I did that affidavit, and I
16
       could -- I could update it for the Court.
17
                THE COURT: LL hasn't been admitted. Let's start with
18
       that.
19
                MR. DELAUNAY: Right.
20
                THE COURT: And I'm about to ask for some briefing.
21
                MR. DELAUNAY:
                               Okay.
22
                            So I want to figure out how we're going to
                THE COURT:
23
       figure out your attorneys' fees without bringing you back.
24
                MR. DELAUNAY: Thank you, Judge.
25
                THE COURT: How do you all think that can happen?
```

1 MR. KELLEY: I'm sorry? 2 THE COURT: Let's assume for a moment that they 3 prevail, because I am going to require some briefing, and I'm 4 not certain of the full answer yet. 5 If they prevail, and if they are entitled to 6 attorneys' fees, how do you propose that we figure out how much 7 those are, given that there's still going to be briefing, and I 8 don't want to spend the money to come back over from -- is it 9 Lafayette or -- that you're coming from? 10 MR. KELLEY: I would like to think we could discuss 11 that and reach an agreement on something like that, if the 12 Court provided us some sort of ruling or mandate, where we 13 would not incur additional time --14 MR. DELAUNAY: Can we just --15 MR. KELLEY: -- or have to come over here.

THE COURT: If we can't -- I'm going to go ahead and swear you in now, we're going to continue the hearing, and allow you to testify on the phone.

MR. DELAUNAY: Okay.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. KELLEY: We can -- I'm learning from our other bankruptcy case that declarations work fine on these issues. It's --

THE COURT: Well, what if you challenge it? If you were to challenge the declaration, I don't want him to come back over here to defend --

```
1
                MR. KELLEY: Then I'll have no problem doing his
2
       testimony over the telephone at that point in time.
3
                THE COURT: Right.
4
                MR. KELLEY: And I would --
5
                THE COURT: So you can submit a revised affidavit, he
6
       can challenge it. If he does --
7
                MR. DELAUNAY: Wonderful.
8
                THE COURT: -- you can testify on the phone. Raise
9
       your right hand.
10
                        GERALD DELAUNAY, ESQ., SWORN.
11
                THE COURT: The hearing is continued, in the event
12
       that we have that issue --
13
                MR. DELAUNAY: We had a question.
14
                THE COURT: -- and you'll be able to testify on the
15
       phone.
16
                MR. DELAUNAY: Can you do an oath over the phone?
17
                THE COURT: I don't need to. It's going to be the
18
       same hearing --
19
                MR. DELAUNAY: I know. It --
20
                THE COURT: -- and you're going to remain under oath.
21
                MR. DELAUNAY: It is, but --
22
                THE COURT: Oh, I don't know.
23
                MR. DELAUNAY: We had a deposition, we did an oath
24
       over the phone.
25
                THE COURT: You know, I --
```

```
MR. DELAUNAY: I kept saying, I've never done that in
1
2
       forty years, but --
3
                THE COURT: I can waive an oath, if I have to.
4
       you -- I got you under oath, don't lie to me. How's that?
5
                MR. DELAUNAY:
                               Okay.
6
                THE COURT: I think we'll be okay.
7
           (Laughter.)
8
                MR. DELAUNAY: I haven't done it in forty years.
9
                MR. KELLEY: Are they closing the --
10
                THE COURT:
                            They're resting, yeah.
11
                MR. DELAUNAY: Yeah, that's it.
12
                THE COURT: Do you have any evidence?
13
                MR. KELLEY: Well, I do. I have two witnesses that
14
       I'm prepared to put on, Your Honor. But I was going to start
15
       with basically the equivalent of a directed verdict on this, in
16
       the sense that it is their burden to demonstrate how the Estate
17
       benefits from this. Our objection on this, which we filed,
18
       Your Honor, lays out the law pretty clearly, if I may?
19
           (Pause in proceedings.)
20
                MR. KELLEY: As the Fifth Circuit has stated In the
21
       Matter of Trans American Natural Gas Corp., Your Honor, it's a
22
       two-prong test. Not only must the claims arise from the
23
       transaction with the Debtor-in-Possession, but the goods or
24
       services supplied must enhance the ability of the Debtor-in-
25
       Possession's business to function as a going concern.
```

And the Court must construe the words as we've said ——
laid out in our brief, and I'm not going to read it for Your

Honor. But the issue here is that it actually benefitted the

Estate and its creditors. The point is, just because it's

post-petition, automatically, as Your Honor knows, doesn't give

rise to an administrative expense. It has to, in fact, have

benefitted the Estate.

Of the amount of work that was performed, approximately \$649,000, I think, was the stipulation. Six hundred thousand of it relates to the Innovator. And of that -- the work on the Innovator, one, production had stopped in May. The witnesses that I'm going to call will testify as to when the Debtors lost an economic interest in that, and the Estate benefitting from that, starting in February. We'll bring that up, if that's necessary for this.

But the point is that, with the Gomez hub and with the Estate's interest in it, the Estate no longer benefitted from this work and these services provided on the Innovator. And in fact, none of this was related to emergency or necessary work. None of it was driven by any mandate from the BOEM, none of it was driven by any order or requirement from any of the regulatory authorities.

THE COURT: So you never want anybody to do work for your client again when you ask them to?

MR. KELLEY: Your Honor, that is not the -- I, of

course, don't want that situation, and I understand the rhetorical nature of that question. Unfortunately, Your Honor, is well aware of the playing field, in which I'm limited in the way I have to argue on this. We have certain assets that are not within our budget, that we don't have monies to spend on those types of issues, and it's not included within there. But I am left with the position of arguing that these administrative expenses don't benefit the Estate, and it is an unfortunate --

THE COURT: I'm just saying, I don't think that the way that you are describing benefit matches the Fifth Circuit's description of benefit, and the law cannot be that people should no longer ever do work for a Chapter 11 Estate because, even if it is, you know, work to go and renovate a platform or maintain a platform, which has sort of facial benefit to an Estate, that somebody can later come back and say to

Ms. Guffy's client, "Well, yeah, I know you did the work, I know we promised you we would pay for the work, I know you did exactly what we asked, and I know that you accomplished laying the pipeline. Well, guess what, we lost money on it, so it didn't benefit us, we're not going to pay you." That's not the law, nor will it be.

MR. KELLEY: I understand the Court's -- but there's big distinctions between the way the Clipper Pipeline Project is dealing with the opportunity to try to -- to try to generate

production.

THE COURT: Gomez --

MR. KELLEY: And the benefit --

THE COURT: Gomez tried to produce; Gomez failed.

MR. KELLEY: Since February of 2013, Your Honor, we've been trying to reject that property. We allowed those parties to try to come up with a plan, by which they could extract value. Even the Government got tired of it, and they entered their shut-in order. Their shut-in order was intended to say, you're not dealing with employment, you're done, you don't have the ability or the wherewithal. The Estate gets no value from that, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Well, it's --

MR. KELLEY: The way the benefit --

THE COURT: The safe-out work is obviously a benefit to the Estate because of *Midlantic*. I don't think there's an issue about the safe-out work.

MR. KELLEY: Well, the point -- the burden of allocation, though, and the burden on this case remains on them. The safe-out work, they have the duty to allocate that and come up with what the safe-out work amounts are.

THE COURT: I can look -- I can look at the invoices.

MR. KELLEY: But the issue that -- I think that -- well, I don't even know that there's sufficient enough testimony and, as we heard from the President of the company,

he doesn't think there's sufficient information to be able to break it out on the invoices.

THE COURT: No, that's not what he said.

MR. KELLEY: I asked him if he were -- if there was the ability to extract that information there, and he said he didn't think there was sufficient information on the invoices.

THE COURT: You asked Mr. Sandoz, and he said you can look at the description and tell what work was done. If you look at the descriptions, for example, on May 6th and May 7th, that description shows that they continued to do remediation work. At some point, the descriptions change, and the descriptions changed to "We were sealing up holes."

MR. KELLEY: But the entire invoices are not related to that and --

THE COURT: But you can look at those. There's individual daily work that's done, and you can read the individual daily work. And yeah, I don't need to -- this isn't a criminal case. I have to look at them and make a best judgment on what those invoices are --

MR. KELLEY: Yes, you do, Your Honor.

THE COURT: -- and I can.

MR. KELLEY: Well, the invoices include fifteen -seventeen days of work, some of which, and on the individual
days where such backup exists, you may be able to look and
identify what work is done on those days.

THE COURT: Well, there's backup on every day. Show me a day for which there isn't backup.

MR. KELLEY: Well, maybe I misread the invoices that we were using, but Your Honor --

THE COURT: I thought there was back -- I have not seen a day without backup. But I mean, maybe that is true in the evidence, but I don't think so.

MR. KELLEY: Well, I'll tell you what, if the Court is asking for briefing, I would like to do that in a little bit more detail, and we'll address it there when -- those days.

It's either there or it's not.

But the point is the work that was done certainly yielded a benefit to the Innovator. ATP was undertaking that by virtue of its contract. And the value of the -- and the way they get paid may, in fact, be their liens or claims against the Innovator, whether that vessel is sold --

THE COURT: Well, that's going to be part of the briefing I want done.

MR. KELLEY: -- and whether that vessel is sold or whether it's scrapped, but they have a claim against that, and we're not disputing that. The issue that we have is that ATP performed work pursuant to its Platform Use Agreements, contractual obligations, and it did that work as part of the maintenance program.

Did the Estate benefit from that? I'm hearing Your

Honor's concerns, and yet, one of the things that, as the Debtors' counsel, we have to point out is I can't — I can't sit here and say, and the evidence doesn't support it, and we won't — and ATP doesn't see it that we can tell the general creditor body that you have benefitted from this work, given that, unfortunately, the way that process worked on the Innovator, where we were unable to reject at the time we wanted to, and with the delay in getting that stuff put to bed, that the contractor is finding itself exposed on this. That is — that's not a great situation, we don't draw any pleasure from that, and it's not a comfortable argument. But it is an argument the Estate has to make, that —

THE COURT: No, I don't -- well, since you're making the argument, I just think the argument is wrong, so I'm going to -- if that's the extent of your motion, I'll deny it, and I'm going to have you put your witnesses on.

MR. KELLEY: Okay.

MR. DELAUNAY: Your Honor, with respect to witnesses, I -- this is the first I hear of witnesses. They did not file a witness list, other than saying that they were going to -- any witness called by any other party, and that's it. But had I known there were witnesses, I would have deposed those witnesses.

THE COURT: Counsel --

MR. DELAUNAY: I've never seen a witness list.

```
1
                THE COURT: Counsel --
2
                MR. KELLEY: I believe we did designate and file our
3
       witness list with the Court, Your Honor, that identified
4
       Mr. Latimer and --
5
                MR. DELAUNAY: Well --
                THE COURT: Let's take a look.
6
7
                MR. DELAUNAY: Let's look and see, because.
8
           (Pause in proceedings.)
9
                THE COURT: Do you know when?
10
           (Participants confer.)
11
                THE COURT: This is going to be on 2311 --
12
                MR. KELLEY: I have a September 4th document, Your
13
       Honor.
14
                THE COURT: There's a witness list related to 2311
15
       filed on September 4th.
16
                MR. KELLEY: The document I have --
17
                THE COURT: Do you have one before September 4th?
18
                MR. KELLEY: What I've got in my notebook here is
19
       dated September 4th. I don't know that there was one before --
20
                            So you filed a witness list yesterday?
                THE COURT:
21
                MR. KELLEY: I know we filed an amended one yesterday.
22
       If there was not an earlier one --
23
                THE COURT: I see. There was a witness list filed on
24
       August 20th with respect to 2311.
25
                Right here, it's on the screen.
```

```
1
                MR. DELAUNAY: Okay.
2
                THE COURT: I'm going to sustain the objection. You
3
       haven't identified any witnesses.
4
                MR. KELLEY: Even for purposes of rebuttal, Your
5
       Honor?
6
           (Participants confer.)
7
                MR. KELLEY: 2498. We may have filed something --
8
                THE COURT: You can have rebuttal witnesses, but don't
9
       forget what the Fifth Circuit said about rebuttal witnesses, is
10
       they're not for the purpose of surprise; they're true rebuttals
11
       for things that weren't known. I mean, if you knew that you
12
       were going to put on some testimony, you just put it up.
13
                What are they going to rebut?
14
                MR. KELLEY: Well, I think, Your Honor, given the
15
       Court's rulings, the witness list --
16
                THE COURT: This is the September 4th list.
17
                MR. KELLEY: What was the other?
18
           (Participants confer.)
19
                THE COURT: The other one just said anybody called by
20
       the other party.
21
                MR. KELLEY: No, he gave me a number for another
22
       document, that's what I was --
23
                THE COURT: Okay.
24
           (Participants confer.)
25
                THE COURT: 2498 is what up. And you identified
```

Mr. Latimer and Mr. Reese, but that was yesterday, which was obviously far too late. Do you have any true rebuttal, or is it really your case that you want to put on?

MR. KELLEY: Well, I'm trying to think if there's anything more we need in the Record on this issue because I believe the witnesses have already testified about the shut-in. You know, I believe the Record here -- I think the Court can take judicial notice of the fact of when we rejected the Innovator.

THE COURT: I'll take judicial notice of when you rejected both -- I don't remember if the Innovator platform has been rejected.

MR. KELLEY: The platform use agreement was rejected --

THE COURT: That was --

MR. KELLEY: -- at the same time with the Gomez leases.

THE COURT: The Gomez was rejected. Yeah, I'll take judicial notice of when all that was rejected. That's part of my own docket sheet.

MR. KELLEY: And I mean, the Debtors' case for that was the economic rationale that's --

THE COURT: All right. Here's -- I need a couple of things briefed. The first one is that the relief sought includes, not just an allowance of the administrative expense,

but a motion to compel payment of the expense. I'm not inclined to do a motion to compel payment because I know in advance that performance is impossible, which I know; in some sense, know.

on the other hand, if they have an administrative expense that they're not paying, and you were to then file whatever relief was appropriate in the form of conversion or dismissal or whatever, that would seem something that we would then have to take up at a future hearing. But I want to know whether the law requires me to issue an order to compel payment when, from the case, it appears they don't have the money to pay. That's separate from the allowance question and how you would then ultimately get paid. But I just want to know what the law requires me to do there. And if the law does require me to compel payment, even when it appears that that's -- there is no reasonable possibility of performance, then I'll do that. But I need briefing on whether I'm supposed to do that.

The second question I have is: From the day that the rejection occurred until the day that the safe-out work occurred, there was a substantial amount of work, from my review of the invoices, for continued repair and maintenance on the Innovator facility. Just looking at the invoices and trusting Mr. Sandoz's testimony -- which I do; I credit it fully -- I think there was that kind of work done. That work, from the evidence, was done at the direction of ATP.

And I want to know what the law says is supposed to happen when you have, for lack of a better description, a transition period from the time that an event of rejection occurs and the time that people realize an event of rejection occurred. Do we just stick the vendor? It doesn't seem fair to me, but that may very well be the law. And that is a different situation than, I think, the prior time period, when you all were doing regular R&M work on the case. So I want to know if there's any law that tells me what to do about that transition period because it's a fairly substantial amount of money --

MR. DELAUNAY: And just --

THE COURT: -- with the transition.

MR. DELAUNAY: We're talking about the Order the Court authorizing the rejection --

THE COURT: I think the --

MR. DELAUNAY: -- on June 21?

THE COURT: No, I think that Order -- didn't -- it was ordered shut in, I think, on the 30th of April.

MR. DELAUNAY: Correct.

THE COURT: And so that order of BSEE caused the shutin, and a notice of the shut-in got filed. You all may not
have known about that shut-in for five or six days, when you
then started the safe-out. I don't have any evidence of when
you -- of the date you learned of the shut-in order. But I

know when the shut-in order occurred, and the testimony, I think, was when the shut-in -- I think the testimony was at the end of April, and my best recollection was April 30. And I can look at my own docket and tell that.

MR. KELLEY: I believe the April 30th date is correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Yeah. But in any event, I don't know what the law requires during that transition period. And I've not tied down the exact date from looking at the invoices. But I think there were six or seven days worth of fairly substantial work that were done during what I'm going to refer to as a "transition period," and that is --

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ DELAUNAY: And from our -- just so I understand what the Court is looking for, the transition period is --

THE COURT: The date of shut-in --

MR. DELAUNAY: Okay.

THE COURT: -- until the date you were told to do a safe-out.

MR. DELAUNAY: Oh, okay. Okay.

THE COURT: And I can -- I can tell when you were told to do the safe-out by looking at the invoices, and it was around the 9th or 10th or 11th, and I don't remember exactly. I started looking at the invoices, and I didn't want to look at invoices and not listen to testimony, but it's -- there was --

MR. DELAUNAY: Okay.

THE COURT: There were quite a -- there were several days where work continued, and you all -- since I have no evidence and my -- the testimony is that you all did what you were told.

MR. DELAUNAY: Right.

THE COURT: So I'm going to just accept that you started doing the safe-out at the same time you were told to do the safe-out. I want to know what to do during that interim period.

MR. DELAUNAY: Right. I see. Right, Judge.

THE COURT: And I just need to know what the law tells me to do on that.

The third question has to do with the fact that the ATP Innovator was owned by a separate corporation, and that the Debtor was operating pursuant to its operating agreement on the Innovator platform, and that was a matter of record, who owned that platform. So if work benefitted the platform, and benefitted — the platform being owned by an affiliate of the Debtor, and it simultaneously benefitted the Debtor because it allowed the Debtor to perform under its, at that point, unrejected agreement, does that constitute a benefit to the Estate, or is that just a lien against the platform?

It's basically the argument that Mr. Kelley made to me at the end. The Debtor, of course, got the benefit of a reduced liability because it was honoring its obligation. But

that reduced liability is to an unsecured claim, for which there will be very little benefit.

And all of that is in the context of you were being asked to do this facially productive work. But I want to know whether I allocate that -- whether I tell you to go file your lien against the platform, or whether you get a claim here.

MR. DELAUNAY: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: And I need to know when we have different ownership versus operations.

As to the safe-out work, I have a very narrow question about that, and that is I think the Debtor did have a *Midlantic* obligation to do the safe-out work. But I believe that the owner of the ATP Innovator Platform also had a *Midlantic* duty -- well, also had a duty to do the safe-out work. It wouldn't have been a *Midlantic* duty because they weren't in bankruptcy.

So if the Debtor is meeting its *Midlantic* duties, which are administrative claims against the Estate, to make everything safe and compliant with regulations, and those were also the duties of the Debtors' affiliate, was the meeting of that administrative obligation itself a benefit to the Estate, or is it not considered a benefit because there was a benefit also to an affiliate from the work? I think I know the answer to that, I think it was a benefit to the Estate, but I want it briefed, to be sure that I get it right.

And because there's not money right now to pay your

client with, which is just the reality, I'm going to let you tell me how long you want to get the briefing done. You'll do the initial briefing, you can do a follow-on brief. And if you want to do it next week, that's fine; if you want to take three weeks to do it, that's fine. I'm not going to rush you because I know you all want to get paid, but the one thing that probably isn't going to happen as a result of this motion is quick payment to your client. I mean, that's unfortunately just if there isn't money, there isn't money. But you're going to get an order that entitles you to payment of at least some of this. It's pretty clear to me you're entitled to at least some of this. So you tell me what you want to do on a briefing schedule.

MR. DELAUNAY: Well --

THE COURT: And you may get an order that compels payment, but I need that briefed, to see if that's what I'm supposed to do.

MR. DELAUNAY: Right. Judge, I can -- if I can have ten minutes?

(Participants confer.)

MR. DELAUNAY: Today is the --

THE COURT: Here's a calendar for you.

MR. DELAUNAY: Today is the 5th, so --

THE COURT: Here's a calendar right here. Do you want to come in on the -- do you want to do it on the 26th, and then

```
1
       I'll give him until the 10th?
2
                MR. DELAUNAY: Yes, that would be great, Judge. Yeah.
3
                THE COURT: Can you -- can you do that, if they do
4
       their briefing by the 26th, and you'll respond by the 10th?
5
           (No verbal response.)
6
                THE COURT: Okay. And then I'll take a yellow sheet
7
       on that, Mr. Rios, on the 11th of October.
8
                MR. KELLEY: You raised a question when I was arguing
9
       that -- about the Fifth Circuit law, and if I can't read the
10
       law -- it makes me want to look more carefully at that. If I
11
       find something, I assume you won't be opposed to including
12
       something in the --
13
                THE COURT: Yeah. No, go right ahead. That's fine.
14
       Yeah, I mean, if I've got the law wrong, I'd rather not mess it
15
       up. But you know, I'm pretty familiar with those Fifth Circuit
16
       cases, and in general, I think that the law is not precisely as
17
       you described it, but we'll see. We'll see, so.
18
                MR. KELLEY: We'll take a look at it, Your Honor.
19
                THE COURT: Mr. Rios, did you have a question?
20
                THE CLERK: I have no questions, Judge.
21
                THE COURT: All right. Anybody else need us to do
22
       anything?
23
           (No verbal response.)
24
                THE COURT: All right. We're adjourned. Thank you.
25
                MR. DELAUNAY: Thank you, Judge.
```

THE CLERK: All rise. (Proceedings adjourned at 5:59 p.m.) I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript to the best of my ability from the electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter. /S./ MARY D. HENRY AAERT CET**D-337 JUDICIAL TRANSCRIBERS OF TEXAS, INC. JTT INVOICE #51531 DATE: SEPTEMBER 11, 2013